

October 25, 2003

Mr. Steve Trent Fluor Hanford Inc. 825 Jadwin Avenue Richland, WA 99352

Reference:

P.O. #630

Eberline Services R3-09-033-7581, SDQ H2330



Dear Mr. Trent:

Enclosed is the data report for one water sample designated under SAF No. F03-007 received at Eberline Services on September 8, 2003. The sample was analyzed according to the accompanying chain-of-custody documents.

Please call if you have any questions concerning this report.

Sincerely,

melin Menn

Melissa C. Mannion Senior Program Manager

MCM

Enclosure: Data Package

EDMC

Page 1 of 2

1.0 GENERAL

Fluor Hanford Inc. (FH) Sample Delivery Group H2330 was composed of one water sample designated under SAF No. F03-007 with a Project Designation of: 200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU – QC Sampling.

The sample was received as stated on the Chain-of-Custody documents. Any discrepancies are noted on the Eberline Services Sample Receipt Checklist.

2.0 ANALYSIS NOTES

2.1 Tritium Analyses

The LCS and method blank were not scaled to the H-3 nominal aliquot of 0.01 L. No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.2 Carbon-14 Analyses

The LCS and method blank were not scaled to the C-14 nominal aliquot of 0.03 L. No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.3 Nickel-63 Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.4 Total Strontium Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.5 Technetium-99 Analyses

The LCS percent recovery was (128%) greater than the laboratory protocol limits (80 to 120%), but within the contract limits (70 to 130%). No other problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.6 Iodine-129 Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.7 Total Uranium Analyses*

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

2.8 Neptunium-237 Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

Case Narrative

Page 2 of 2

Case Narrative Certification Statement

"I certify that this data package is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data obtained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature."

Melin Mann Melissa C. Mannion Senior Program Manager

SDG 7581 Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u> Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG_H2330</u>

SUMMARY DATA SECTION

TABLE OF	COI	N T E	e n c	rs	
About this section	-				1
Sample Summaries			•	•	3
Prep Batch Summary	• .	•	•	•	5
Work Summary		•	•	•	6
Method Blanks	•	•	•	•	8
Lab Control Samples	. •	• .	•	•	9
Duplicates	•	•	:	•	10
Matrix Spikes		•	•	•	11
Data Sheets	•	• .	•	•	12
Method Summaries	• · · · .	•	•	•,	13
Report Guides	•	•	•	•	21
End of Section		•. •	•	•	35

Melles	2/1	nam
Prepared	by	

Melin Mami

Reviewed by

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

REPORT GUIDE

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Case no SDG_H2330

ABOUT THE DATA SUMMARY SECTION

The Data Summary Section of a Data Package has all data, in several useful orders, necessary for first level, routine review of the data package for a Sample Delivery Group (SDG). This section follows the Data Package Narrative, which has an overview of the data package and a discussion of special problems. It is followed by the Raw Data Section, which has full details.

The Data Summary Section has several groups of reports:

SAMPLE SUMMARIES

The Sample and QC Summary Reports show all samples, including QC samples, reported in one SDG. These reports cross-reference client and lab sample identifiers.

PREPARATION BATCH SUMMARY

The Preparation Batch Summary Report shows all preparation batches (lab groupings reflecting how work was organized) relevant to the reported SDG with information necessary to check the completeness and consistency of the SDG.

WORK SUMMARY

The Work Summary Report shows all samples and work done on them relevant to the reported SDG.

METHOD BLANKS

The Method Blank Reports, one for each Method Blank relevant to the SDG, show all results and primary supporting information for the blanks.

LAB CONTROL SAMPLES

The Lab Control Sample Reports, one for each Lab Control Sample relevant to the SDG, show all results, recoveries and primary supporting information for these QC samples.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 1

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581 Contact Melissa C. Mannion

GUIDE, cont.

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

ABOUT THE DATA SUMMARY SECTION

DUPLICATES

The Duplicate Reports, one for each Duplicate and Original sample pair relevant to the SDG, show all results, differences and primary supporting information for these QC samples.

MATRIX SPIKES

The Matrix Spike Reports, one for each Spiked and Original sample pair relevant to the SDG, show all results, recoveries and primary supporting information for these QC samples.

DATA SHEETS

The Data Sheet Reports, one for each client sample in the SDG, show all results and primary supporting information for these samples.

METHOD SUMMARIES

The Method Summary Reports, one for each test used in the SDG, show all results, QC and method performance data for one analyte on one or two pages. (A test is a short code for the method used to do certain work to the client's specification.)

REPORT GUIDES

The Report Guides, one for each of the above groups of reports, have documentation on how to read the associated reports.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 2
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 2

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

LAB SAMPLE SUMMARY

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

LAB SAMPLE ID	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	LOCATION		MATRIX	LEVEL	SAF NO	CHAIN OF CUSTODY	COLLECTED
R309033-01	B17HR3	200-PW-4/Retention B	lasin	WATER		F03-007	F03-007-020	09/02/03 12:15
R309033-02	Lab Control Sample			WATER		F03-007		
R309033-03	Method Blank			WATER		F03-007		
R309033-04	Duplicate (R309033-01)	200-PW-4/Retention B	lasin	WATER		F03-007		09/02/03 12:15
R309033-05	Spike (R309033-01)	200-PW-4/Retention B	lasin	WATER		F03-007		09/02/03 12:15

LAB SUMMARY
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 3

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG	7581		
Contact	Melissa	c.	Mannion

QC SUMMARY

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

QC BATCH	CHAIN OF CUSTODY	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	MATRIX	% SOLIDS	SAMPLE AMOUNT	BASIS AMOUNT	DAYS S RECEIVED		LAB SAMPLE ID	DEPARTMENT SAMPLE ID
7581	F03-007-020	B17HR3	WATER		9.25 L		09/08/03	6	R309033-01	7581-001
		Method Blank	WATER						R309033-03	7581-003
		Lab Control Sample	WATER						R309033-02	7581-002
:		Duplicate (R309033-01)	WATER		9.25 L		09/08/03	6	R309033-04	7581-004
		Spike (R309033-01)	WATER	.:	9.25 L	٠	09/08/03	6	R309033-05	7581-005

QC SUMMARY
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 4

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-QS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

SDG	<u>7581</u>		_
Contact	Melissa	C. Mannion	

PREP BATCH SUMMARY

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

			PREPARATION ERROR			PLANCHETS ANALYZED					QUALI-	
TEST	MATRIX	METHOD	BATCH	2σ %	CLIENT	MORE	RE	BLANK	LCS	DUP/ORI	G MS/ORIG	FIERS
Alpha	Spectroso	ору								-		
NP	WATER	Neptunium in Water	7078-090	5.0	1			1	1.	1/1		
	Counting		7070 000	. 40.0	4			. 1	a	2 (0	-	
SR	WATER	Total Strontium in Water	7078-090	10.0	```				1	1/1		
TC	WATER	Technetium 99 in Water	7078-090	10.0	1			1	. 1	1/1		
Gamma	Spectroso	сору						,				
I	WATER	Iodine 129 in Water	7078-090	5.0	1			1	- 1	1/1		
Kinet	ĩc Phospho	primetry (KPA)		÷							,	
U_T	WATER	Uranium, Total in Water	7078-090	9.0	. 1	-	-	1	1	1/1		
Liqui	d Scintill	ation Counting								٠.		
C .	WATER	Carbon 14 in Water	7078-090	10.0	1			. 1	1	1/1	1/1	X
H	WATER	Tritium in Water	7078-090	10.0	î			1	1	1/1	1/1	χ .
NI_L	WATER	Nickel-63 in Liquid	7078-090	10.0	· 1	•		· ·1	1	1/1		

Duplicates and Matrix Spikes are those with original (Client) sample in this Sample Delivery Group.

Blank and LCS planchets are those in the same preparation batch as some Client, Duplicate or Spike sample.

PREP BATCH SUMMARY
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 5

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-PBS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

LAB WORK SUMMARY

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

AB SAMPLE	CLIENT SAMPLE ID			SUF-		•	e.	
COLLECTED RECEIVED	LOCATION MATRIX CUSTODY SAF No	PLANCHET	TEST		ANALYZED	REVIEWED	вч	METHOD
309033-01	B17HR3	7581-001	С		10/07/03	10/21/03	MWT	Carbon 14 in Water
09/02/03	200-PW-4/Retention Basin WATER	7581-001	H		10/09/03	10/21/03	MWT	Tritium in Water
09/08/03	F03-007-020 F03-007	7581-001	I		10/16/03	10/21/03	MWT	lodine 129 in Water
		7581-001	NI_L		10/17/03	10/21/03	MWT	Nickel-63 in Liquid
		7581-001	NP		10/11/03	10/21/03	MWT	Neptunium in Water
		7581-001	SR		10/02/03	10/21/03	MWT	Total Strontium in Water
. 1		7581-001	TC		10/13/03	10/21/03	MWT	Technetium 99 in Water
		7581-001	U_T		10/17/03	10/21/03	MWT	Uranium, Total in Water
700077 03	Lab Control Comple	7581-002	c		10/07/03	10/21/03	MWT	Carbon 14 in Water
309033-02	Lab Control Sample WATER	7581-002	Н		10/09/03	10/21/03	MWT	Tritium in Water
	F03-007	7581-002	. I	•	10/16/03	10/21/03	MWT	Iodine 129 in Water
•	102-001	7581-002	NI_L		10/17/03	10/21/03	MWT	Nickel-63 in Liquid
		7581-002	NP		10/11/03	10/21/03	MWT	Neptunium in Water
		7581-002	SR		10/02/03	10/21/03	MWT	Total Strontium in Water
		7581-002	TC		10/13/03	10/21/03	MWT	Technetium 99 in Water
·		7581-002	U_T·		10/17/03		MWT	Uranium, Total in Water
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
309033-03	Method Blank	7581-003	C		10/07/03	10/21/03	MWT	Carbon 14 in Water
	WATER	7581-003	Н		10/09/03	10/21/03	MWT	Tritium in Water
	F03-007	7581-003	· I		10/17/03	10/21/03	MWT	Iodine 129 in Water
		7581-003	NI_L		10/18/03	10/21/03	MWT	Nickel-63 in Liquid
		7581-003	NP		10/11/03	10/21/03	MWT	Neptunium in Water
		7581-003	SR		10/02/03	10/21/03		Total Strontium in Water
•		7581-003	TC		10/13/03	10/21/03	MWT	Technetium 99 in Water
		7581-003	U_T		10/17/03	10/21/03	MWT	Uranium, Total in Water
309033-04	Duplicate (R309033-01)	7581-004	С		10/07/03	10/21/03	MWT	Carbon 14 in Water
09/02/03	200-PW-4/Retention Basin WATER	7581-004	Н		10/09/03	10/21/03	MWT	Tritium in Water
09/08/03	F03-007	7581-004	I ·		10/16/03	10/21/03	MWT	Iodine 129 in Water
		7581-004	NI_L		10/18/03	10/21/03	MWT	Nickel-63 in Liquid
		7581-004	NP		10/11/03	10/21/03	MWT	Neptunium in Water
		7581-004	SR	•	10/02/03	10/21/03	MWT	Total Strontium in Water
		7581-004	TC		10/13/03	10/21/03	MWT	Technetium 99 in Water
		7581-004	U_T		10/17/03	10/21/03	MWT	Uranium, Total in Water
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Spika (P300033-01)	7581-005	С		10/08/03	10/21/03	MWT	Carbon 14 in Water
90.403.403	Spike (R309033-01)					10/21/03		Tritium in Water
09/02/03 09/08/03	200-PW-4/Retention Basin WATER F03-007	7581-005	H	-	10/60/02	10/21/03	PIW I	ii itican in water

WORK SUMMARY
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 6

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-LWS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

WORK SUMMARY, cont.

Client	Hanford
Contract	No. 630
Case no	SDG_H2330_

TEST	SAF No	COUNTS METHOD	OF TESTS BY SAI	MPLE TYPE CLIENT MORE	RE BLANK	LCS	DUP SPIKE	TOTAL
С	F03-007	Carbon 14 in Water	C14_CHEM_LSC	1	. 1	1,	1 1	5
H	F03-007	Tritium in Water	906.0_H3_LSC	1	. 1	1	1 .1	5
I	F03-007	Iodine 129 in Water	I129_SEP_LEPS_GS	1	. 1	1 .	1 .	4
NI L	F03-007	Nickel-63 in Liquid	N163_LSC	1,	• 1	1	1	4
NP	F03-007	Neptunium în Water	NP237_LLE_PLATE_AEA	. 1	1	1	1 .	4
SR	F03-007	Total Strontium in Water	SRTOT_SEP_PRECIP_GPC	1	. 1	1	1	4
TC	F03-007	Technetium 99 in Water	TC99_TR_SEP_LSC	1	1	1	1	4
U_T	F03-007	Uranium, Total in Water	UTOT_KPA	1	. 1	1	1	4
TOTALS				8	8	8	8 2	34

WORK SUMMARY
Page 2
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 7

7581-003

## METHOD BLANK

Method Blank

SDG	7581	Client/Case no	Hanford	SDG_H2330
Contact	Melissa C. Mannion	Contract	No. 630	
Lab sample id Dept sample id		Client sample id Material/Matrix SAF No		WATER

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/L	RDL pCi/L	QUALI~ FIERS	TEST
Tritium	10028-17-8	-0.172	9.8	17	400	U	H
Carbon 14	14762-75-5	0.276	0.71	1.2	200	U .	C
Nickel 63	13981-37-8	0.378	1.2	2.1	15	υ	NI_L
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	0.243	0.34	0.64	2.0	U	SR
Technetium 99	14133-76-7	-0.970	1.7	5.9	15	U	TC
Total Uranium (ug/L)	7440-61-1	0.004	0.015	0.035	0.10	ΰ	U_T
Neptunium 237	13994-20-2	0	0.24	0.34	1.0	U	NP
Todine 129	15046-84-1	-1.14	2.0	4.5	5.0	Ū	I

200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU-QC Sampling

QC-BLANK 45672

METHOD BLANKS
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 8

7581-002

LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Control Sample

SDG 7581	Client/Case no <u>Hanford SDG H2330</u> Contract No. 630
Contact Melissa C. Mannion  Lab sample id R309033-02	Client sample id <u>Lab Control Sample</u>
Dept sample id <u>7581-002</u>	Material/Matrix <u>WATER</u> SAF No <u>F03-007</u>

ANALYTE	RESULT pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDĄ pCi/L	RDL pCi/L	QUALI- FIERS	TEST	ADDED pCi/L	2σ ERR pCi/L	REC %	3σ LNTS (TOTAL)	PROTOCOL LIMITS
Tritium	254	16	17	400	,	Н	254	10	100	81-119	80-120
Carbon 14	253	2.7	1.2	200		С	255	10	99	84-116	80-120
Nickel 63	222	4.7	2.4	15	•	NI_L	228	9.1	97	84-116	80-120
Total Strontium	42.0	1.8	0:59	2.0		SR	41.8	1.7	100	83-117	80-120
Technetium 99	1390	31	5.3	15		TC	1090	44	128	79-121	80-120
Total Uranium (ug/L)	92.0	- 11	0.001	0.10		U_T	82.5	3.3	112	74-126	80-120
Neptunium 237	49.3	4.3	0.26	1.0		NΡ	49.6	2.0	99	84-116	80-120
Iodine 129	498	11	9.7	5.0		I	464	19	107	89-111	80-120

200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU-QC Sampling

ŀ				
l	QC-LCS 45671	,	1.	
ı				

LAB CONTROL SAMPLES
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 9

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-LCS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

7581-004

SDG 7581

Lab sample id <u>R309033-04</u>

Dept sample id 7581-004

Contact Melissa C. Mannion
DUPLICATE

## DUPLICATE

ORIGINAL

Lab sample id R309033-01

Received 09/08/03

Dept sample id <u>7581-001</u>

B17HR3

Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u> SDG H2330
Contract No. 630

Client sample id B17HR3

Location/Matrix 200-PW-4/Retention Basin WATER

Collected/Volume 09/02/03 12:15 9.25 L

Custody/SAF No F03-007-020 F03-007

ANALYTE	DUPLICATE pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/L	RDL pCi/L	QUALI- FIERS	TEST	ORIGINAL pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/L	QUALI- FIERS	RPD %	3σ PROT
Tritium	68.0	95	160	400	U	Н	53.7	98	160	U	-	
Carbon 14	26.5	25	40	200	U	С	32.0	24	39	U	'	
Nickel 63	0.072	1.2	2.1	15	U	NI_L	0.576	1.2	2.1	U·	-	
Total Strontium	0.082	0.35	0.69	2.0	U ·	SR	0.360	0.30	0.53	U	-	
Technetium 99	0.444	2.2	5.6	15	U	TC	-0.290	1.7	58	U		
Total Uranium (ug/L)	0.121	0.023	0.035	0.10		U_T	0.125	0.023	0.035		3	44
Neptunium 237	0	0.59	0.39	1.0	U	NP	0.152	0.30	0.44	U.		
Lodine 129	1.06	1.6	3.6	5.0	U	1	-2.68	5.3	12	U	-	

200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU-QC Sampling

QC-DUP#1 45673

DUPLICATES
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 10

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

7581-005

MATRIX SPIKE

B17HR3

SDG 7581

Contact Melissa C. Mannion

MATRIX SPIKE

Lab sample id <u>R309033-05</u> Dept sample id 7581-005

ORIGINAL

Lab sample id <u>R309033-01</u>

Received <u>09/08/03</u>

Dept sample id <u>7581-001</u>

Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u>

SDG H2330

Contract No. 630

Client sample id <u>B17HR3</u>

Location/Matrix 200-PW-4/Retention Basin WATER

Collected/Volume 09/02/03 12:15 9.25 L

Custody/SAF No F03-007-020 F03-007

ANALYTE	SPIKE pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/L	RDL pCî/L	QUALI- FIERS		ADDED pCi/L	2σ ERR pCi/L	ORIGINAL pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	REC 3σ LMTS PROTOCOL % (TOTAL) LIMITS
Tritium Carbon 14	 19500 51500	370 520	160 120	400 200	X X	Н	21 <b>3</b> 00 51100	850 2000	53.7 32.0	98 24	91 85-115 60-140 101 84-116 60-140

200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU-QC Sampling

QC-MS#1 45674

MATRIX SPIKES Page 1 SUMMARY DATA SECTION Page 11

Lab id EBRLNE Protocol Hanford Version Ver 1.0 Form DVD-MS

Version 3.06

Report date 10/25/03

7581-001

# DATA SHEET

B17HR3

E .	7581 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract	
Lab sample id Dept sample id Received			200-PW-4/Retention Basin WATER 09/02/03 12:15

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/L	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/L	RDL pCi/L	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Tritium	10028-17-8	53.7	98	160	400	U	H
Carbon 14	14762-75-5	32.0	24	39	200	U	С
Nickel 63	13981-37-8	0.576	1.2	2.1	15	Ü	NI_L
Total Strontium	SR-RAD	0.360	0.30	0.53	2.0	U	SR
Technetium 99	14133-76-7	-0.290	1.7	5.8	15	Ū	TC
Total Uranium (uq/L)	7440-61-1	0.125	0.023	0.035	0.10		$\mathtt{U}_\mathtt{T}$
Neptunium 237	13994-20-2	0.152	0.30	0.44	1.0	U .	NP
Iodine 129	15046-84-1	-2.68	5.3	12	5.0	Ū	ıŢ

200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU-QC Sampling

DATA SHEETS
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 12

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test NP Matrix WATER
SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

LAB METHOD SUMMARY

NEPTUNIUM IN WATER

ALPHA SPECTROSCOPY

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Contract SDG H2330

RESULTS

LAB RAW SUF- SAMPLE ID TEST FIX PLANCHET CLIENT SAMPLE ID	Neptunium 237	
Preparation batch 7078-090		
R309033-01 7581-001 B17HR3	. <b>U</b>	
R309033-02 7581-002 LCS (QC ID=45671)	ok .	
R309033-03 7581-003 BLK (QC ID=45672)	U i	
R309033-04 7581-004 Duplicate (R309033-01)	- Ú	
Nominal values and limits from method RDLs (pCi/L) 200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU-QC Sampling	1.0	

METHOD PERFORMANCE

LAB SAMPLE ID	RAW SUF- TEST FIX CLIENT SAMPLE ID	MDA pCi/L			DILU-	YIELD %	EFF %		FWHM keV		PREPARED	ANAL- YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation	batch 7078-090 2 <i>a</i> prep error 5	.0 % I	Reference	Lab N	lotebook	7078	pg.	090					
R309033-01	B17HR3	0.44	0.200			43		102		39	10/10/03	. 10/11	SS-059
R309033-02	LCS (QC ID=45671)	0.26	0.200			72		102			10/10/03	10/11	ss-060
R309033-03	BLK (QC ID=45672)	0.34	0.200	•	-	55		102		•	10/10/03	10/11	SS-061
R309033-04	Duplicate (R309033-01)	0.39	0.200			49		102		39	10/10/03	10/11	SS-062
	(QC ID=45673)	•						•					
Nominal valu	ues and limits from method	1.0	0.200			20-105	5	100		180		-	

	PROCEDURES REFERENCE	NP237_LLE_PLATE_AEA
	CP-930	Neptunium from Solids and Water by Extraction
		Chromatography, rev 0
-	CP-008	Heavy Element Electroplating, rev 7
1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

AVERAGES ± 2 SD MDA 0.36 ± 0.15 FOR 4 SAMPLES YIELD 55 ± 25

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 13

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-LMS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test <u>SR</u> Matrix <u>WATER</u> SDG 7581

Contact Melissa C. Mannion

# LAB METHOD SUMMARY

TOTAL STRONTIUM IN WATER
BETA COUNTING

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Contract SDG H2330

RESULTS

Preparation batc	h 7078-090			e e		
R309033-01	7581-001	B17HR3	υ			
R309033-02	7581-002	LCS (QC ID=45671)	ok		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
R309033-03	7581-003	BLK (QC ID=45672)	U			•
R309033-04	7581-004	Duplicate (R309033-01)	- U	•	•	

METHOD PERFORMANCE

LAB SAMPLE ID	RAW TEST			SAMPLE ID	MDA pCi/	ALIQ L L	PREP FAC		YIELD %	EFF %		FWHM keV		PREPARED	ANAL- YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation	batc	1 707	8-090	2σ prep error	10.0 %	Reference	Lab	Notebook	7078	pg.	090		٠			• •
R309033-01			B17HR3		0.5	3 0.500			86		100		30	10/02/03	10/02	GRB-217
R309033-02			LCS (QC	ID=45671)	0.59	9 0.500			90		100	1		10/02/03	10/02	GRB-217
R309033-03			BLK (QC	ID=45672)	0.6	4 0.500			91		100			10/02/03	10/02	GRB-218
R309033-04				te (R309033-01) ID=45673)	0.69	9 0.500			89		100	٠.	 30	10/02/03	10/02	GRB-219
Nominal valu	ues a	nd li	mits fro	m method	2.0	0.500			35-105	i	100		180			

PROCEDURES	REFERENCE	SRTOT_SEP_PRECIP_GPC
	CP-383	Strontium in Dissolved Solid of < 5.0g Aliquot, rev 0

AVERAGES ± 2 SD	MDA	0.61	±	0.14
FOR 4 SAMPLES	YIELD	89	±	4

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 2
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 14

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test TC Matrix WATER
SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

# LAB METHOD SUMMARY

TECHNETIUM 99 IN WATER BETA COUNTING Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Contract SDG_H2330

RESULTS

Preparation	batch 707	8-090					
R309033-01		7581-001	B17HR3	U ,			
309033-02		7581-002	LCS (QC ID=45671)	HIGH			
R3090 <b>33-</b> 03	5	7581-003	BLK (QC ID=45672)	ນ			
R309033-04		7581-004	Duplicate (R309033-01)	, - · U			

METHOD PERFORMANCE

LAB RAW SAMPLE ID TEST		: ID	MDA pCi/L	ALIQ L	PREP FAC		YIELD %	EFF %				PREPARED	ANAL- YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation batch	7078-090 2σ p	orep error 10,	.0 % F	Reference	Lab	Notebook	7078	pg.	090			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
R309033-01	B17HR3		5.8	0.100	7.		90		50		41	10/09/03	10/13	GRB-202
R309033-02	LCS (QC ID=45	671)	5.3	0.100			95		50			10/09/03	10/13	GRB-203
R309033-03	BLK (QC ID=45	672)	5.9	0.100			91		- 50			10/09/03	10/13	GRB-204
R309033-04	Duplicate (R3	09033-01)	5.6	0.100			94		50		41	10/09/03	10/13	GRB-207
	(QC ID=45	673)												
Nominal values an	d limits from meth	ıod	15	0.100			20-10	5	50		180			

PROCEDURES	REFERENCE	TC99_TR_SEP_LSC
	CP-021	Preparation of Tc-99m Tracer, rev 2
	CP-002	Q.C. Preparation, rev 4
	CP-003	Addition of Carriers and Tracers, rev 5
-	CP-430	Technetium-99 Purification (Water) by Extraction
		Chromatography, rev 0
	CP-008	Heavy Element Electroplating, rev 7

AVERAGES ± 2 SD	MDA	5.6 ±	0.53
FOR 4 SAMPLES	YIELD	92 ±	5

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 3
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 15

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-LMS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test I Matrix WATER
SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

## LAB METHOD SUMMARY

IODINE 129 IN WATER
GAMMA SPECTROSCOPY

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Contract <u>SDG H2330</u>

RESULTS

Preparation batch	7078-090					* * *
R309033-01	7581-001	B17HR3	บ		•	•
R309033-02	7581-002	LCS (QC ID=45671)	ok			
R309033-03	7581-003	BLK (QC ID=45672)	u u	•		•
R309033-04	7581-004	Duplicate (R309033-01)	· - U			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

METHOD PERFORMANCE

LAB SAMPLE ID	RAW SUF- TEST FIX CLIENT SAMPLE ID	MDA pCī/L	ALIQ L		DILU-	YIELD I					PREPARED	ANAL - YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation	batch 7078-090 2σ prep erro	r 5.0 % Re	eference	Lab No	otebook	7078 ;	og. 090		٠.				
R309033-01	B17HR3	_12	0.250			76	6	51		44	10/10/03	10/16	XSPEC-016
R309033-02	LCS (QC ID=45671)	9.7	0.250			63	2	<u>15</u>			10/10/03	10/16	XSPEC-004
R309033-03	BLK (QC ID=45672)	4.5	0.250			71	8-	<b>4</b> 5			10/10/03	10/17	XSPEC-004
R309033-04	Duplicate (R309033-01) (QC ID=45673)	3.6	0.250	-		74	6	9		. 44	10/10/03	10/16	XSPEC-004
Nominal valu	ues and limits from method	5.0	0.250			20-105	3	00 100	÷	180			

PROCEDURES	REFERENCE	I 129_SEP_LEPS_GS	
	CP-530	Iodine-129 Purification, rev 0	

AVERAGES ± 2 SD	MDA <u>7.4</u> ± <u>8.1</u>
FOR 4 SAMPLES	YIELD <u>71</u> ± <u>11</u>

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 4
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 16

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test	<u>u_T_</u>	Matri:	ΧĿ	ATER	
SDG	7581				
ontact	Melis	ssa C.	Ма	nnion	1

# LAB METHOD SUMMARY

URANIUM, TOTAL IN WATER
KINETIC PHOSPHORIMETRY (KPA)

Client	<u>Hanford</u>
Contract	No. 630
Contract	SDG H2330

RESULTS

LAB SAMPLE ID	RAW SUF- TEST FIX PLANCHET	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	Total Uranium	
Preparation	batch 7078-090			
R309033-01	7581-001	B17HR3	0.125	
R309033-02	7581-002	LCS (QC ID=45671)	ok	
R309033-03	7581-003	BLK (QC ID=45672)	The U	
R3090 <b>33-</b> 04	7581-004	Duplicate (R309033-01)	ok	÷
	ues and limits from	3	0.10	
200-PW-2/20	O-PW-4 OU-QC Samplin	9		

METHOD PERFORMANCE

	SUF- FIX CLIENT	SAMPLE ID	MDA .ug/L	ALIQ L		DILU-	YIELD %	EFF %		FWHM keV			PREPARED	ANAL- YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation batch	1 7078-090	2σ prep error	9.0 % R	eference	Lab I	Notebool	c 7078	pg.	090						
R309033-01	B17HR <b>3</b>		0.035	0.0200		•						45	10/17/03	10/17	KPA-001
R309033-02	LCS (Q	C ID=45671)	0.001	0.0200									10/17/03	10/17	KPA-001
R309033-03	BLK (QI	C ID=45672)	0.035	0.0200									10/17/03	10/17	KPA-001
R309033-04	Duplic	ate (R309033-01)	0.035	0.0200								45	10/17/03	10/17	KPA-001
	(QI	C ID=45673)											1 - 1.		
Nominal values a	od limite fr	om method	n in	n 0200						-	•	180			

PROCEDURES	REFERENCE	UTOT_KPA
	CP-044	Sample Preparation for Total Uranium by Kinetic
	•	Phosphorimetry, rev 4
	CP-928	Total Uranium by Kinetic Phosphorimetry, rev 5

AVERAGES ± 2 SD	MDA <u>0.026</u> ±	0.034
FOR 4 SAMPLES	YIELD ±	

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 5
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 17

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-LMS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test C Matrix WATER
SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

# LAB METHOD SUMMARY CARBON 14 IN WATER

LIQUID SCINTILLATION COUNTING

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Contract <u>SDG H2330</u>

RESULTS

Preparation batcl	h 7078-090		÷				
R309033-01	7581-001	B17HR3	U				
R309033-02	7581-002	LCS (QC ID=45671)	ok				*
R309033-03	7581-003	BLK (QC ID=45672)	U				
R309033-04	7581-004	Duplicate (R309033-01)	-	U			
R309033-05	7581-005	Spike (R309033-01)	ok	X	**		

METHOD PERFORMANCE

LAB SAMPLE ID	RAW TEST	SUF- FIX CLIE	NT SAMPLE ID		MDA pCi/L	ALIQ L	PREP FAC	DILU- TION	YIELD %	EFF %	COUNT	FWHM keV		PREPARED	ANAL- YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation	batch	7078-090	2σ ргер	error	10.0 %	Reference	Lab I	Notebool	k 7078	pg.	090		••			
R309033-01		<b>В17</b> Н	R3		39	0.0300	•		100	4	100		35	10/07/03	10/07	LSC-004
R309033-02		LCS	(QC ID=45671)	i,	1.2	1.00		-	100		100			10/07/03	10/07	LSC-004
R309033-03		BLK	(QC ID=45672)	1	1.2	1.00			100		100			10/07/03	10/07	LSC-004
R309033-04		Dupl	icate (R30903	3-01)	40,	0.0300			100		100		35	10/07/03	10/07	LSC-004
			(QC ID=45673)	١.				•							* .	
R309033-05		Spik	e (R <b>3</b> 09033-01	)	120	0.0200			100		25		36	10/07/03	10/08	LSC-004
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		•	(QC ID=45674)	•						-						
Nominal valu	ues ar	d limits	from method		200	0.0300					50	•	180			

PROCEDURES REFERENCE C14_CHEM_LSC
CP-241 Carbon-14 in Aqueous Samples, rev 4

AVERAGES ± 2 SD MDA 40 ± 97

FOR 5 SAMPLES YIELD 100 ± 0

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 6

Page 18

SUMMARY DATA SECTION

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test	H Matrix WATER
SDG	7581
Contact	Melissa C. Mannion

# LAB METHOD SUMMARY

TRITIUM IN WATER
LIQUID SCINTILLATION COUNTING

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Contract <u>SDG H2330</u>

RESULTS

Preparation batc	h 7078-090				-				- 1	÷	
R309033-01	7581-001	B17HR3	U		•						
R309033-02	7581-002	LCS (QC ID=45671)	ok			,					. ,
R309033-03	7581-003	BLK (QC ID=45672)	U								
R309033-04	7581-004	Duplicate (R309033-01)	-	ប			٠.				
R309033-05	7581-005	Spike (R309033-01)	ok	Х							

METHOD PERFORMANCE

	AW SUF- EST FIX CLIENT SAMPLE ID	MDA pCi/L	ALIQ L	PREP FAC	DILU- TION	YIELD %		COUNT min			PREPARED	ANAL - YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation b	eatch 7078-090 2σ prep error	10.0 %	Reference	Lab N	ioteboo	k 7078	pg.	090					
R309033-01	B17HR3	160	0.0100			100	٠.	120		37	10/08/03	10/09	LSC-007
R309033-02	LCS (QC ID=45671)	17	1.00			10		120	1		10/08/03	10/09	LSC-007
R309033-03	BLK (QC ID=45672)	17	1.00			10		120			10/08/03	10/09	LSC-007
R309033-04	Duplicate (R309033-01) (QC ID=45673)	160,	0.0100	-		100		120		37	10/08/03	10/09	LSC-007
R309033-05	Spike (R309033-01) (QC ID=45674)	160	0.0400			25		120		37	10/08/03	10/09	LSC-007
Nominal value	s and limits from method	400	0.0100					25		180			

PROCEDURES	REFERENCE	906.0_H3_LSC		* :	4.7
	CP-210	Tritium in Water	Samples by	Distillation,	rev 6

	and the second second		
AVERAGES ± 2 SD	MDA 100	_ ±	160
FOR 5 SAMPLES	YIELD 49	_ ±	94

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 7
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 19

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-LMS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/25/03</u>

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

Test <u>NI L</u> Matrix <u>WATER</u> SDG <u>7581</u>

Contact Melissa C. Mannion

## LAB METHOD SUMMARY

NICKEL-63 IN LIQUID
LIQUID SCINTILLATION COUNTING

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Contract SDG H2330

RESULTS

SAMPLE ID T	EST FIX P	LANCHE	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	Nicke	L 03 .			:	÷	·		
Preparation b	atch 7078-	090						•	•			
R309033-01	7	7581-001	B17HR3	U								
R309033-02	. 7	581-002	LCS (QC ID=45671)	ok								
R309033-03	. 7	7581-003	BLK (QC ID=45672)	ប	٠							
R309033-04	7	7581-004	Duplicate (R309033-01)		U							
Nominal value	1.7			15	,	 						

METHOD PERFORMANCE

LAB RAW SAMPLE ID TEST		SAMPLE ID	MDA pCi/L	ALIQ . L	PREP FAC		YIELD %	EFF %				PREPARED	ANAL- YZED	DETECTOR
Preparation batch	7078-090	2o prep error	10.0 %	Reference	Lab I	Notebook	7078	pg.	090					
R309033-01	B17HR3	•	2.1	0.500			96		100		45	10/17/03	10/17	LSC-004
R309033-02	LCS (QC	ID=45671)	2.4	0.500			96		76			10/17/03	10/17	LSC-004
R309033-03	BLK (QC	ID=45672)	2.1	0.500			96		100			10/17/03	10/18	LSC-004
R309033-04	2,	te (R309033-01) ID=45673)	2.1	0.500			94		100	-	46	10/17/03	10/18	LSC-004
Nominal values an	<u> </u>		15 🔅	0.500					50		 180			

PROCEDURES	REFERENCE	N163_LSC		٠
	CP-280	Nickel-63 Purification, re	٧	0

AVERAGES ± 2 SD	MDA <u>2.2</u> ± <u>0.30</u>
FOR 4 SAMPLES	YIELD <u>96</u> ± 2

METHOD SUMMARIES
Page 8
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 20

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

## REPORT GUIDE

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

The Sample and QC Summary Reports show all samples, including QC samples, reported in one Sample Delivery Group (SDG).

The Sample Summary Report fully identifies client samples and gives the corresponding lab sample identification. The QC Summary Report shows at the sample level how the lab organized the samples into batches and generated QC samples. The Preparation Batch and Method Summary Reports show this at the analysis level.

The following notes apply to these reports:

- * LAB SAMPLE ID is the lab's primary identification for a sample.
- * DEPARTMENT SAMPLE ID is an alternate lab id, for example one assigned by a radiochemistry department in a lab.
- * CLIENT SAMPLE ID is the client's primary identification for a sample. It includes any sample preparation done by the client that is necessary to identify the sample.
- * QC BATCH is a lab assigned code that groups samples to be processed and QCed together. These samples should have similar matrices.

QC BATCH is not necessarily the same as SDG, which reflects samples received and reported together.

* All Lab Control Samples, Method Blanks, Duplicates and Matrix Spikes are shown that QC any of the samples. Due to possible reanalyses, not all results for all these QC samples may be relevant to the SDG. The Lab Control Sample, Method Blank, Duplicate, Matrix Spike and Method Summary Reports detail these relationships.

REPORT GUIDES

Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 21

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

## REPORT GUIDE

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Case no SDG H2330

## PREPARATION BATCH SUMMARY

The Preparation Batch Summary Report shows all preparation batches in one Sample Delivery Group (SDG) with information necessary to check the completeness and consistency of the SDG.

The following notes apply to this report:

- * The preparation batches are shown in the same order as the Method Summary Reports are printed.
- * Only analyses of planchets relevant to the SDG are included.
- * Each preparation batch should have at least one Method Blank and LCS in it to validate client sample results.
- * The QUALIFIERS shown are all qualifiers other than U, J, B, L and H that occur on any analysis in the preparation batch. The Method Summary Report has these qualifiers on a per sample basis.

These qualifiers should be reviewed as follows:

- X Some data has been manually entered or modified. Transcription errors are possible.
- P One or more results are 'preliminary'. The data is not ready for final reporting.
- 2 There were two or more results for one analyte on one planchet imported at one time. The results in DVD may not be the same as on the raw data sheets.

Other lab defined qualifiers may occur. In general, these should be addressed in the SDG narrative.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 2
SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 22

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581 Contact Melissa C. Mannion

## REPORT GUIDE

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

### WORK SUMMARY

The Work Summary Report shows all samples, including QC samples, and all relevant analyses in one Sample Delivery Group (SDG). This report is often useful as supporting documentation for an invoice.

The following notes apply to this report:

- * TEST is a code for the method used to measure associated analytes. Results and related information for each analyte are on the Data Sheet Report. In special cases, a test code used in the summary data section is not the same as in associated raw data. In this case, both codes are shown on the Work Summary.
- * SUFFIX is the lab's code to distinguish multiple analyses (recounts, reworks, reanalyses) of a fraction of the sample. The suffix indicates which result is being reported. An empty suffix normally identifies the first attempt to analyze the sample.
- * The LAB SAMPLE ID, TEST and SUFFIX uniquely identify all supporting data for a result. The Method Summary Report for each TEST has method performance data, such as yield, for each lab sample id and suffix and procedures used in the method.
- * PLANCHET is an alternate lab identifier for work done for one test. It, combined with the TEST and SUFFIX, may be the best link to raw data.
- * For QC samples, only analyses that directly QC some regular sample are shown. The Lab Control Sample, Method Blank, Duplicate, Matrix Spike and Method Summary Reports detail these relationships.
- * The SAS (Special Analytical Services) Number is a client or lab assigned code that reflects special processing for samples, such as rapid turn around. Counts of tests done are lists by SAS number since it is likely to affect prices.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 3
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 23

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

### REPORT GUIDE

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Case no SDG H2330

#### DATA SHEET

The Data Sheet Report shows all results and primary supporting information for one client sample or Method Blank. This report corresponds to both the CLP Inorganics and Organics Data Sheet.

The following notes apply to this report:

- * TEST is a code for the method used to measure an analyte. If the TEST is empty, no data is available; the analyte was not analyzed for.
- * The LAB SAMPLE ID and TEST uniquely identify work within the Summary Data Section of a Data Package. The Work Summary and Method Summary Reports further identify raw data that underlies this work.

The Method Summary Report for each TEST has method performance data, such as yield, for each Lab Sample ID and a list of procedures used in the method.

- * ERRORs can be labeled TOTAL or COUNT. TOTAL implies a preparation (non-counting method) error has been added, as square root of sum of squares, to the counting error denoted by COUNT. The preparation errors, which may vary by preparation batch, are shown on the Method Summary Report.
- * A RESULT can be 'N.R.' (Not Reported). This means the lab did this work but chooses not to report it now, possibly because it was reported at another time.
- * When reporting a Method Blank, a RESULT can be 'N.A.' (Not Applicable). This means there is no reported client sample work in the same preparation batch as the Blank's result. This is likely to occur when the Method Blank is associated with reanalyses of selected work for a few samples in the SDG.

The following qualifiers are defined by the DVD system:

U The RESULT is less than the MDA (Minimum Detectable Activity).

REPORT GUIDES
Page 4
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 24

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

GUIDE, cont.

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Case no SDG H2330

## DATA SHEET

If the MDA is blank, the ERROR is used as the limit.

- J The RESULT is less than the RDL (Required Detection Limit) and no U qualifier is assigned.
- B A Method Blank associated with this sample had a result without a U flag and, after correcting for possibly different aliquots, that result is greater than or equal to the MDA for this sample.

Normally, B is not assigned if U is. When method blank subtraction is shown on this report, B flags are assigned based on the unsubtracted values while U's are assigned based on the subtracted ones. Both flags can be assigned in this case.

For each sample result, all Method Blank results in the same preparation batch are compared. The Method Summary Report documents this and other QC relationships.

- L Some Lab Control Sample that QC's this sample had a low recovery. The lab can disable assignment of this qualifier.
- H Similar to 'L' except the recovery was high.
- P The RESULT is 'preliminary'.
- X Some data necessary to compute the RESULT, ERROR or MDA was manually entered or modified.
- 2 There were two or more results available for this analyte. The reported result may not be the same as in the raw data.

Other qualifiers are lab defined. Definitions should be in the SDG narrative.

The following values are underlined to indicate possible problems:

* An MDA is underlined if it is bigger than its RDL.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 5
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 25

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

GUIDE, cont.

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Case no SDG H2330

## DATA SHEET

- * An ERROR is underlined if the 1.645 sigma counting error is bigger than both the MDA and the RESULT, implying that the MDA may not be a good estimate of the 'real' minimum detectable activity.
- * A negative RESULT is underlined if it is less than the negative of its 2 sigma counting ERROR.
- * When reporting a Method Blank, a RESULT is underlined if greater than its MDA. If the MDA is blank, the 2 sigma counting error is used in the comparison.

REPORT GUIDES

Page 6

SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 26

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

### REPORT GUIDE

Client	Hani	ford	 ·
Contract	No.	630	
Case no	SDG	H2330	

## LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

The Lab Control Sample Report shows all results, recoveries and primary supporting information for one Lab Control Sample.

The following notes apply to this report:

- * All fields in common with the Data Sheet Report have similar usage. Refer to its Report Guide for details.
- * An amount ADDED is the lab's value for the actual amount spiked into this sample with its ERROR an estimate of the error of this amount.

An amount added is underlined if its ratio to the corresponding RDL is outside protocol specified limits.

- * REC (Recovery) is RESULT divided by ADDED expressed as a percent.
- * The first, computed limits for the recovery reflect:
  - 1. The error of RESULT, including that introduced by rounding the result prior to printing.

If the limits are labeled (TOTAL), they include preparation error in the result. If labeled (COUNT), they do not.

- 2. The error of ADDED.
- 3. A lab specified, per analyte bias. The bias changes the center of the computed limits.
- * The second limits are protocol defined upper and lower QC limits for the recovery.
- * The recovery is underlined if it is outside either of these ranges.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 7
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 27

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u>
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

### REPORT GUIDE

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

## DUPLICATE

The Duplicate Report shows all results, differences and primary supporting information for one Duplicate and associated Original sample.

The following notes apply to this report:

* All fields in common with the Data Sheet Report have similar usage. This applies both to the Duplicate and Original sample data. Refer to the Data Sheet Report Guide for details.

If the Duplicate has data for a TEST and the lab did not do this test to the Original, the Original's RESULTs are underlined.

* The RPD (Relative Percent Difference) is the absolute value of the difference of the RESULTs divided by their average expressed as a percent.

If both RESULTs are less than their MDAs, no RPD is computed and a '-' is printed.

For an analyte, if the lab did work for both samples but has data for only one, the MDA from the sample with data is used as the other's result in the RPD.

* The first, computed limit is the sum, as square root of sum of squares, of the errors of the results divided by the average result as a percent, hence the relative error of the difference rather than the error of the relative difference. The errors include those introduced by rounding the RESULTs prior to printing.

If this limit is labeled TOT, it includes the preparation error in the RESULTs. If labeled CNT, it does not.

This value reported for this limit is at most 999.

- * The second limit for the RPD is the larger of:
  - 1. A fixed percentage specified in the protocol.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 8
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 28

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

GUIDE, cont.

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Case no SDG H2330

## DUPLICATE

- 2. A protocol factor (typically 2) times the average MDA as a percent of the average result. This limit applies when the results are close to the MDAs.
- * The RPD is underlined if it is greater than either limit.
- * If specified by the lab, the second limit column is replaced by the Difference Error Ratio (DER), which is the absolute value of the difference of the results divided by the quadratic sum of their one sigma errors, the same errors as used in the first limit.

Except for differences due to rounding, the DER is the same as the RPD divided by the first RPD limit with the limit scaled to 1 sigma.

* The DER is underlined if it is greater than the sigma factor, typically 2 or 3, shown in the header for the first RPD limit.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 9
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 29

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

## REPORT GUIDE

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

### MATRIX SPIKE

The Matrix Spike Report shows all results, recoveries and primary supporting information for one Matrix Spike and associated Original sample.

The following notes apply to this report:

- * All fields in common with the Data Sheet Report have similar usage. This applies both to the Spiked and Original sample data. Refer to the Data Sheet Report Guide for details.
  - If the Spike has data for a TEST and the lab did not do this test to the Original, the Original's RESULTs are underlined.
- * An amount ADDED is the lab's value for the actual amount spiked into the Spike sample with its ERROR an estimate of the error of this amount.
  - An amount is underlined if its ratio to the corresponding RDL is outside protocol specified limits.
- * REC (Recovery) is the Spike RESULT minus the Original RESULT divided by ADDED expressed as a percent.
- * The first, computed limits for the recovery reflect:
  - 1. The errors of the two RESULTs, including those introduced by rounding them prior to printing.
    - If the limits are labeled (TOTAL), they include preparation error in the result. If labeled (COUNT), they do not.
  - 2. The error of ADDED.
  - 3. A lab specified, per analyte bias. The bias changes the center of the computed limits.
- * The second limits are protocol defined upper and lower QC limits

REPORT GUIDES

Page 10

SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 30

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

GUIDE, cont.

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

### MATRIX SPIKE

for the recovery.

These limits are left blank if the Original RESULT is more than a protocol defined factor (typically 4) times ADDED. This is a way of accounting for that when the spike is small compared to the amount in the original sample, the recovery is unreliable.

* The recovery is underlined (out of spec) if it is outside either of these ranges.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 11
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 31

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

## REPORT GUIDE

Client	Hanford	
Contract	No. 630	· .
Case no	SDG H2330	

## METHOD SUMMARY

The Method Summary Report has two tables. One shows up to five results measured using one method. The other has performance data for the method. There is one report for each TEST, as used on the Data Sheet Report.

The following notes apply to this report:

* Each table is subdivided into sections, one for each preparation batch. A preparation batch is a group of aliquots prepared at roughly the same time in one work area of the lab using the same method.

There should be Lab Control Sample and Method Blank results in each preparation batch since this close correspondence makes the QC meaningful. Depending on lab policy, Duplicates need not occur in each batch since they QC sample dependencies such as matrix effects.

* The RAW TEST column shows the test code used in the raw data to identify a particular analysis if it is different than the test code in the header of the report. This occurs in special cases due to method specific, details about how the lab labels work.

The Lab Sample or Planchet ID combined with the (Raw) Test Code and Suffix uniquely identify the raw data for each analysis.

* If a result is less than both its MDA and RDL, it is replaced by just 'U' on this report. If it is greater than or equal to the RDL but less than the MDA, the result is shown with a 'U' flag.

The J and X flags are as on the data sheet.

- * Non-U results for Method Blanks are underlined to indicate possible contamination of other samples in the preparation batch. The Method Blank Report has supporting data.
- * Lab Control Sample and Matrix Spike results are shown as: ok, No data, LOW or HIGH, with the last two underlined. 'No data'

REPORT GUIDES
Page 12
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 32

#### EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

GUIDE, cont.

Client <u>Hanford</u>
Contract <u>No. 630</u>
Case no <u>SDG H2330</u>

#### METHOD SUMMARY

means no amount ADDED was specified. 'LOW' and 'HIGH' correspond to when the recovery is underlined on the Lab Control Sample or Matrix Spike Report. See these reports for supporting data.

- * Duplicate sample results are shown as: ok, No data, or OUT, with the last two underlined. 'No data' means there was no original sample data found for this duplicate. 'OUT' corresponds to when the RPD is underlined on the Duplicate Report. See this report for supporting data.
- * If the MDA column is labeled 'MAX MDA', there was more than one result measured by the reported method and the MDA shown is the largest MDA. If not all these results have the same RDL, the MAX MDA reflects only those results with RDL equal to the smallest one.

MDAs are underlined if greater than the printed RDL.

- * Aliquots are underlined if less than the nominal value specified for the method.
- * Prepareation factors are underlined if greater than the nominal value specified for the method.
- * Dilution factors are underlined if greater than the nominal value specified for the method.
- * Residues are underlined if outside the range specified for the method. Residues are not printed if yields are.
- * Yields, which may be gravimetric, radiometric or some type of recovery depending on the method, are underlined if outside the range specified for the method.
- * Efficiencies are underlined if outside the range specified for the method. Efficiencies are detector and geometry dependent so this test is only approximate.

REPORT GUIDES
Page 1.3
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 33

Lab id EBRLNE
Protocol Hanford
Version Ver 1.0
Form DVD-RG
Version 3.06
Report date 10/25/03

#### EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG 7581
Contact Melissa C. Mannion

GUIDE, cont.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Client	Hanford	_
Contract	No. 630	_
Case no	SDG H2330	_
Cabe IIO	000 11000	_

#### METHOD SUMMARY

- Count times are underlined if less than the nominal value specified for the method.
- * Resolutions (as FWHM; Full Width at Half Max) are underlined if greater than the method specified limit.
- * Tracer drifts are underlined if their absolute values are greater than the method specified limit. Tracer drifts are not printed if percent moistures are.
- * Days Held are underlined if greater than the holding time specified in the protocol.
- * Analysis dates are underlined if before their planchet's preparation date or, if a limit is specified, too far after it.

For some methods, ratios as percentages and error estimates for them are computed for pairs of results. A ratio column header like '1÷3' means the ratio of the first result column and the third result column.

Ratios are not computed for Lab Control Sample, Method Blank or Matrix Spike results since their matrices are not necessarily similar to client samples'.

The error estimate for a ratio of results from one planchet reflects only counting errors since other errors should be correlated. For a ratio involving different planchets, if QC limits are computed based on total errors, the error for the ratio allows for the preparation errors for the planchets.

The ratio is underlined (out of spec) if the absolute value of its difference from the nominal value is greater than its error estimate. If no nominal value is specified, this test is not done.

For Gross Alpha or Gross Beta results, there may be a column showing the sum of other Alpha or Beta emitters. This sum includes all relevant

REPORT GUIDES
Page 14
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 34

Lab id EBRLNE
Protocol Hanford
Version Ver 1.0
Form DVD-RG
Version 3.06
Report date 10/25/03

#### EBERLINE SERVICES/RICHMOND

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP H2330

SDG <u>7581</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>

GUIDE, cont.

Client Hanford
Contract No. 630
Case no SDG_H2330

#### METHOD SUMMARY

results in the DVD database, whether reported or not. Results in the sum are weighted by a particles/decay value specified by the lab for each relevant analyte. Results less than their MDA are not included. No sums are computed for Lab Control, Method Blank or Matrix Spike samples since their various planchets may not be physically related.

If a ratio of total isotopic to Gross Alpha or Beta is shown, the error for the ratio reflects both the error in the Gross result and the sum, as square root of sum of squares, of the errors in the isotopic results.

For total elemental uranium or thorium results, there may be a column showing the total weight computed from associated isotopic results. Ignoring results less than their MDAs, this is a weighted sum of the isotopic results. The weights depend on the molecular weight and half-life of each isotope so as to convert activities (decays) to weight (atoms).

If a ratio of total computed to measured elemental uranium or thorium is shown, the error for the ratio reflects the errors in all the measurements.

REPORT GUIDES

Page 15

SUMMARY DATA SECTION

Page 35

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>
Protocol <u>Hanford</u>
Version Ver 1.0

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>
Form <u>DVD-RG</u>

Version 3.06

Report date 10/25/03

TITI Cantual Distance	Ducingt	· [	CH	AIN OF CUST	ODV/S	AMPLE	ANALY	YSIS	RE(	OUEST		F03-	007-020	Page 1 of	<u> </u>
FH-Central Plateau Collector Pfister/Pope/Hughes/Johansen	Froject	С	ompan LC Hu	y Contact	Telephor 373-3	ne No.			TREN	IT, SJ	Pr.		7N	Data Turn 45 D	
Project Designation 200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU - QC	Sampling	S	amplin 200-P	g Location W-4/ Retention Basin	1/233	30 (75	<u> </u>		SAF No. F03-007			r Quality			
Ice Chest No.	E OSPC	F	ield Lo HNF-	ogbook No. N-3361	COA 117504ES10				Fe	od of Shipp deral Expres	s	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (Form	nerly TMA)	<u> </u>	)ffsite l	Property No.	A03	0 35	7	1	Bill	of Lading/A	ir Bill No.	<u>2</u>	SE E	OSPC	
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZAF	radiological controll	led area. No		Preservation	Cool 4C	Cool 4C	H2SO4 to pH <2 Cool 4C	HCL to p		HNO3 to pH <2	HNO3 to pH <2	HNO3 to pH <2	HNO3 10 pH <2	HCl to pH <2	None P
Special Handling and/or St	total activity associa sample/samples.	ited with	P-	Type of Container	aG	aG	Р	6		P	P 2	P	P 1	P 1	1
Special franting and of	or age			No. of Container(s)	4 1000mL	2 1000mL	1000mL	1000	mL	2109-2-03 - <del>125mb</del>	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	1779-2-03 -250mE 500 mL	250mL
	SAMPLE ANAI	LYSIS		Volume	Pesticides - 8081	Chloro- Herbicides - EPAR151	NO2/NO3 - 353.2; Ammonia - 350.3	Oit & Gr 413	rease -	Total Uranium	Strontium- 89,90 Total Sr	Neptunium-237	7 Nickel-63	Technetlum-99	Tritium - H3; Carbon-14
					18	4.2.03									THE WATER OF THE STATE OF THE S
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample	Date	Sample Time	1/2								<del>                                     </del>	7	X
B17HR3	WATER	9-2-	-03	1215	/					<u> </u>	<del></del>	X .	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>	-/-
			,		1				<i>/</i>		<u> </u>				
			<del> </del>		<u>                                     </u>			1							
						·							<u></u>		Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From COO C G G Relinquished By/Removed From COO COO COO COO COO COO COO COO COO CO	Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time	Received Received Received Received Received	By/Stor F# By/Stor By/Stor By/Stor i By/Stor	red In 200 9-4 red In red In red In red In	Date/Time	300 300 400 130 130 100 100 100	ersonnel no clinquish sa tef# 50	ot availa	ble to rom th	ne 3728 / /o >				Date/Time  Date/Time	S=Soil SE=Sedment SC=Soid SI=Studge W = Water O=Oil A=Air DS=Drum Soids DL=Drum Liquids T=Tissue WI=Wige L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal N DISPOSITION	AGUIDU		-	•		-		_							

FH-Central Plateau	ı Project	CF	CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS REQUEST F03-007-020 Page 2 of												of <u>2</u>
Collector Pfister/Pope/Hughes/Johansen		Compa	ny Contact Iulstrom	Telepho 373-3	ne No.			Proje TRE	et Coordin VT, SJ	ator '	Price C	ode	7N	Data Turi	naround / Days
Project Designation 200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU - QO	C Sampling	Sampli 200-	ng Location PW-4/ Retention Basin	H23	30 (7581)			SAF No. F03-007			Air Quality				
Ice Chest No.	EE OSP	Field I				OA 7504ES10			od of Ship deral Expre				<u> </u>		
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (Form	Q-2-07 EBE	4	Property No.	2030	3:5	7	·.	Bill	of Lading/	Air Bill	No.	SE	E CO	s pe	
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZAL SA ra	DS/REMARKS Amples did not originate did not originate did not originate did not originate at all activity associated w	ea. No	Preservation	None											
	mple/samples.	•	Type of Container	Р			ļ		· .						
	Nove		No. of Container(s)	4 1000mL								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			Volume	Todine-129	<u> </u>										
	SAMPLE ANAL	YSIS													-
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time												
B17HR3	WATER	9-2-03	1215	1			1000						e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
										<u> </u>					
							-			-		<del></del>			
CHAIN OF POSSESSIO	<u>l</u>	Sign/Prin	t Names	1	SPI	CIAL INST	RUCT	IONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	<u>l</u>				Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From  Relinquished By/Removed From  Relinquished By/Removed From  Relinquished By/Removed From  Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time	Received By/Sto  Regeived By/Sto  Received By/Sto  Received By/Sto	red In Property In The In The In The In The In	Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time	300 -031400 30 30	Personnel relinquisl Ref#	:		e to n the 3728 5/ 05	<del></del>					S=Soll SE=Sediment SO=Solid St=Sludgo W = Water O=Oil A=Air DS=Drum Solids Di=FDrum Liquids T=Tissue WI=Wipe
Relinquished By/Removed From Relinquished By/Removed From Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time 1000	Received By/Sto	red in 1	Q - 5 - 6 Date/Time Date/Time								•			L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other
Relinquished By/Removed From  LABORATORY SECTION Received By		1/4	C/ q.	3-03 10	Citle .									Date/Time	<u></u>
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Me DISPOSITION	ethod					Disp	posed By	<i>'</i>						Date/Time	



## RICHMOND, CA LABORATORY SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECKLIST

Client:	FLR	Date/Time received 000 9-8 -
1	F02 0-0-012N	Date/Inite received to
CoC No		35.6
Contair	ner I.D. No. ERC-99-058 Requested	TAT (Days) 45 P.O. Received Yes [ ] No [
	INSP	ECTION
7.	Custody seals on shipping container intact?	Yes [ No [ ] N/A [
2.	Custody seals on shipping container dated & s	igned? Yes [ No [ ] N/A [
3.	Custody seals on sample containers intact?	Yes [ No [ ] N/A [
4	Custody seals on sample containers dated & s	igned? Yes [ ] No [ ] N/A [
5.	Packing material is:	Wet[] Dry[1]
6.	Number of samples in shipping container:	
7.	Number of containers per sample:	(Or see CoC)
8,	Samples are in correct container	Yes [ ] No [ ]
9.	Paperwork agrees with samples?	Yes [ ] No [ ]
10.	Samples have: Tape [ ] Hazard labels [ ]	Rad labels [ ] Appropriate sample labels [
11.		ing [ ] Broken Container [ ] Missing [ ]
12.		pH 1 Preservative HAM2/H (L
13.	Describe any anomalies: H3 IN	PLASTIC CONTAINED
		?
14.	$\gamma_{\ell}$	es [ No [ ] Date 9-8-13
15.	Received by	Date: 9-8-8 Time: [00r]
Custom	er Sample_	Customer Sample
	No. cpm mR/hr wipe	No. cpm mR/hr wipe
<del></del>		
<del></del>		
	k	
1	alain Can Na	Catternal
ion Char	nber Ser. No.	Calibration date
Alpha M	eter Ser. No.	Calibration date
Reta/Go	nma Meter Ser. No.	Calibration date
(a) Cai	IBHO MISEO CON HOT	Odification date



8 October 2003

Mr. Steve Trent Fluor Hanford Inc. 825 Jadwin Ave. Richland, WA 99352

Subject: Contract No. 630

Analytical Data Package

Dear Mr. Trent:

Enclosed are the hard copy analytical reports for the batch number/fraction indicated (marked X) in the following table:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LvLl Batch #	0309L403
SDG#	H2330
SAF#	F03-007
Date Received	9-06-03
# Samples	1
, Matrix	Water
Volatiles	
Semivolatiles	
Pest/PCB	Χ
DRO/GRO/KRO	
Herbicides	Χ
GC Alcohol	
Metals	
Inorganics	Χ



The electronic data deliverable (EDD) will be emailed shortly. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me at (610) 280-3012.

Sincerely,

Lionvillé Laboratory Incorporated

Orlette S. Johnson Project Manager

r:\group\pm\orlette\tnu-hanford\data\fc_ltrs.doc

# Lionville Laboratory, Inc. PEST/PCB ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE FOR TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330

DATE RECEIVED: 09/06/03

LVL LOT # :0309L403

CLIENT ID	LVL #	MTX PREP #	COLLECTION	EXTR/PREP	ANALYSIS
B17HR3	001	W 03LE1095	09/02/03	09/08/03	09/25/03
B17HR3	001 MS	W 03LE1095	09/02/03	09/08/03	09/26/03
B17HR3	001 MSD	W 03LE1095	09/02/03	09/08/03	09/26/03
LAB QC:	· ·				
PBLKEQ	MB1	W 03LE1095	N/A	09/08/03	09/17/03
PBLKEQ	MB1 BS	W 03LE1095	N/A	09/08/03	09/17/03
PBLKEQ	MB1 BSD	W 03LE1095	N/A	09/08/03	09/17/03



A6 /3/3



**Analytical Report** 

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00

Date Received: 09-06-03

Client: TNU-HANFORD F03-007

LVL#: 0309L403

SDG/SAF#: H2330/F03-007

#### PESTICIDE

One (1) water sample was collected on 09-02-03.

The sample and its associated QC samples were extracted on 09-08-03 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory OPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 09-17,25,26-03. The extraction procedure was based on method 3520 and the extracts were analyzed based on method 8081A.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

- 1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 2. All required holding times for extraction and analysis have been met.
- 3. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
- 4. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 5. All blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 6. Three (3) of forty (40) matrix spike recoveries were outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR) has been enclosed.
- 7. All initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
- 8. All continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
- 9. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

pef\r:\group\data\pest\tnu hanford\09L-403.pes

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 10 pages.

LIOUVIIIE Laboratory Sa	mple Discrepancy Re	SDR#: OBC 386
Initiator: bryce Soutce  Date: 9130103  Client: Thu	Batch: 0309L408 Samples:	Parameter:
1. Reason for SDR a. COC Discrepancy Tech Profile Transcription	Error Client Request n Error Wrong Test Code	Sampler Error on C-O-C Other
Hold Time Exceeded Insu	ufficient Sample Pro Amenable to Analysis	rong Sample Pulled Label ID's Illegible esservation Wrong Received Past Hold
c. Problem (Include all relevant specific	· ·	v)
C. Problem (monde an rolevant specim	mich IS and ASD ser	remies are within acceptance limits
11) complex are clean	11100, 0 110 0- 120	overles are within acceptance limits
<b>2</b> 17 3 <b>1</b> 002 - 3 2.0.7		
2. Known or Probable Causes(s)		
3. Discussion and Proposed Action	Other Description: A	1
Re-logEntire BatchFollowing Samples:Re-leachRe-extractRe-digestRevise EDDChange Test Code toPlace On/Take Off Hold (circle)		
4. Project Manager Instructionssigna	iture/date: J / WV V	
Concur with Proposed Action Disagree with Proposed Action; Solution Include in Case Narrative Client Contacted: Date/Person	ee Instruction	
Add .	Λ1	
Cancel		
5. Final Actionsignature/date:  Verified re-[log][leach][extract][dige Included in Case Narrative Hard Copy COC Revised Electronic COC Revised EDD Corrections Completed		r Explanation:
When Final Action has been recorde	d, forward original to QA Spec	ialist for distribution and filing.
Route Distribution of Completed SDR  X Initiator  X Lab General Manager: M. T  X Project Mgr: Stone/Johnson  X Technical Mgr: Wesson/Dan  X QA (file): Alberts  Data Management: Feldman  Sample Prep: Beegle/Kiger	aylor	Distribution of Completed SDR  Metals: Beegle Inorganic: Perrone GC/LC: Kiger MS: Rychlak/Layman Log-in: Melnic Admin: Soos Other:

#### 3E WATER PESTICIDE MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: Lionville Labs, Inc. Contract: 1343-06-01

Case No.: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330 RFW Lot No.: 0309L403-001

MATRIX Spike - Sample No.: B17HR3 Level: (low/med) LOW

		•	· · · · · ·		
	SPIKE	SAMPLE	MS	MS	QC
	ADDED		CONCENTRATION	૪	LIMITS
COMPOUND	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	REC #	REC
Alpha-BHC	0.211	:# <b>##</b> #====== 	0.179	85	70 -130
Beta-BHC	0.211	Ŏ	0.168	80	70 -130
Delta-BHC	0.211	ň	0.147	70	70 -130
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.211	0	0.179	85	56 -127
Heptachlor	0.211	0	0.190	90	50 -129
Aldrin	0.211	0	0.147	70	48 -133
Heptachlor epoxide	0.211	o o	0.190	90	70 -130
Endosulfan I	0.211	0	0.274	130	70 -130
Dieldrin	0.211	0 .	0.200	95	57 -131
4,4'-DDE	0.211	0	0.200	95	70 -130
Endrin	0.211	0	0.253	120	46 -150
Endosulfan II	0.211	0	0.179	85	70 -130
4,4'-DDD_	0.211	0	0.168	80	70 -130
Endosulfan sulfate	0.211	0	0.200	95	70 -130
4,4'-DDT	0.211	0	0.190	90	38 -138
Methoxychlor	0.211	0	0.284	135 *	70 -130
Endrin ketone	0.211	0	0.221	105	70 -130
Endrin aldehyde	0.211	0	0.168	.80	70 -130
alpha-Chlordane	0.211	0	0.232	110	70 -130
gamma-Chlordane	0.211	] 0	0.179	85	70 -130-

			•			
	SPIKE	MSD	MSD			
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	જ	%	QC I	LIMITS
COMPOUND	UG/L	UG/L	REC #	RPD #	RPD	REC
				#======		
Alpha-BHC	0.206	0.196	95	11	20	70 -130
Beta-BHC	0.206	0.186	90	11	20	70 -130
Delta-BHC	0.206	0.165	80	13	20	70 -130
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.206	0.196	95	11	15	56 -127
Heptachlor	0.206	0.206	100	10	20	50 -129
Aldrin	0.206	0.165	. 80	13	22	48 -133
Heptachlor epoxide	0.206	0.206	100	10	20	70 -130
Endosulfan I	0.206	0.299	145 *	10	20	70 -130
Dieldrin	0.206	0.227	110	14	18	57 -131
4,4'-DDE	0.206	0.217	105	10	20	70 -130
Endrin	0.206	0.278	135	11	- 21	46 -150
Endosulfan II	0.206	0.196	95	11	20	70 -130
4,4'-DDD	0.206	0.196	95	17	20	70 -130
Endosulfan sulfate	0.206	0.217	105	10	20	70 -130
4,4'-DDT	0.206	0.206	100	10	27	38 -138
Methoxychlor	0.206	0.309	150 *	10	20	70 -130
Endrin ketone	0.206	0.247	120	13	20	70 -130
Endrin aldehyde	0.206	0.186	90	11	20	70 -130
alpha-Chlordane	0.206	0.247	120	8	20	70 -130
gamma-Chlordane	0.206	0.196	95	11	20	70 -130



#### GLOSSARY OF PESTICIDE/PCB DATA

#### DATA QUALIFIERS

- U = Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected. The minimum detection limit for the sample (not the method detection limit) is reported with the U (e.g., 10U).
- J = Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used in cases where a target analyte is detected at a level less than the lower quantification level. If the limit of quantification is 10 ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L is calculated, it is reported as 3J.
- B = This flag is used when the analyte is found in the associated blank as well as in the sample. It indicates possible/probable blank contamination.
- E = Indicates that the compound was detected beyond the calibration range and was subsequently analyzed at a dilution.
- I = Interference.
- .I = Indicates an interference on one analytical column only. Result is reported from remaining analytical column.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

- BS = Indicates blank spike in which reagent grade water is spiked with the CLP matrix spiking solutions and carried through all the steps in the method. Spike recoveries are reported.
- **BSD** = Indicates blank spike duplicate.
- MS = Indicates matrix spike.
- MSD = Indicates matrix spike duplicate.
- **DL** = Indicates that recoveries were not obtained because the extract had to be diluted for analysis.
- NA = Not Applicable.
- **DF** = Dilution Factor.
- NR = Not Required.



#### GLOSSARY OF PESTICIDE/PCB DATA

- This flag is used for an PESTICIDE/PCB target analyte when there is greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns (see Form X). The lower of the two values is reported on Form I and flagged with a "P".
- **D** = This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
- C = This flag applies to a compound that has been confirmed by GC/MS.

Pesticide/PCBs by GC, CLP List

Report Date: 09/30/03 13:45 RFW Batch Number: 0309L403 Client: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330 Work Order: 11343606001 Page: 1

Cust ID:	B17HR3	B17HR3	B17HR3	PBLKEQ	PBLKEQ BS	PBLKEQ BSD
Sample RFW#: Information Matrix: D.F.: Units:	001 WATER 1.00 UG/L	001 MS WATER 1.00 UG/L	001 MSD WATER 1.00 UG/L	03LE1095-MB1 WATER 1.00 UG/L	03LE1095-MB1 WATER 1.00 UG/L	<b>03LE1095-MB1</b> WATER 1.00 UG/L
Surrogate: Tetrachloro-m-xylene Decachlorobiphenyl	90 % 100 %	80 % 105 %	95 % 110 %	75 % 80 %	75 % 85 %	70 % 75 %
Alpha-BHC  Beta-BHC  Delta-BHC	0.053 U 0.053 U 0.053 U	85 % 80 % 70 %	95 % 90 % 80 %	0.050 U 0.050 U 0.050 U	85 % 80 % 100 %	70 % 75 % 95 %
gamma-BHC (Lindane)  Heptachlor  Aldrin	0.053 U 0.053 U 0.053 U	85 % 90 % 70 %	95 % 100 % 80 %	0.050 U 0.050 U 0.050 U	70 % 80 % 80 %	65 % 75 % 75 %
Heptachlor epoxide Endosulfan I Dieldrin	0.053 U 0.053 U 0.053 U	90 % 130 % 95 %	100 % 145 * % 110 %	0.050 U	75 % 80 % 75 %	70 ዩ 80 ዩ 70 ዩ
4,4'-DDE Endrin Endosulfan II	0.11 U 0.11 U 0.11 U	95 % 120 % 85 %	105 % 135 % 95 %	0.10 U 0.10 U	75 % 75 % 95 % 70 %	70 % 90 %
4,4'-DDD Endosulfan sulfate 4,4'-DDT	0.11 U 0.11 U	80 % 95 %	95 % 105 %	0.10 U 0.10 U	70 % 75 %	70 % 100 % 70 %
Methoxychlor Endrin ketone Endrin aldehyde	0.11 U 0.53 U 0.11 U 0.11 U	90	100 % 150 * % 120 %	0.10 U 0.50 U 0.10 U	60 % 90 % 75 %	55 % 85 % 75 %
alpha-Chlordane gamma-Chlordane Toxaphene	0.053 U 0.053 U 5.3 U	80 % 110 % 85 % 5.3 U	90 % 120 % 95 % 5.2 U	0.10 U 0.050 U 0.050 U 5.0 U	85 % 85 % 75 % 5.0 U	90 % 85 % 70 % 5.0 U

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

Lionville Labora	itory Us	se Only	ันรเบ	uy i	lans	5'1 U			<del>-</del> -			• •								٠			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
03091	L40	3	*	FIELD	PER80	NNE	L: C	OMPLE	TE ONL	Y SHAD	ED A	REA!	5 -D	EF	-	·			·	<u>G</u>		1, 1	NVILLE LA	<b>NEORATO</b> R	RY INC.
			المدروب المستوار	~~T		N. ja	1	Refriger	ator #			Ì		,											
Client TN	レニビ	antold_	_ro_s	<u>, co 1</u>				#/Tyme (	Container	Liquid			400	Ja					,	<u> 12 -</u>	1	200			
Est. Final Proj.	. Sampi	11343-69	06.001	-9999	. 00			<i>ж</i> лура .		Solid			- 1							11.		14			
Project Contac	:t/Phon	e#				· · · · ·		Volume	•	Liquid	3	774.)	<u>  —  </u>	<u>  L</u>				3 (		3				7.4	
Project #  Project Contact Lionville Labo ac SPE	ratory !	Project Manage	an (NN)	سلك	July	~^^	المست	Preser	ualiuae	Solin	-		\ \ -		. 31) 7 23					Hz.		HCC	14.5		
QC		Del JIV	TAT_		0 30	agy)				1		ORO	ANIC	1	3 11,61	-	\	IN	ORG	12501					Electronisteration participation appropriate
Date Rec'd	9-	6-03	Date Due	(	0-6-0	3	······································	REQUI	/SES ESTED		ΛΟV	BNA	Pest/	Herb				Metal		262	NES	+ 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
MATRIX CODES;							atrix C					<del></del>	<u></u>	1		Lion	ille La	f	ſ	se On	y	10.6	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
S - Soil SE - Sediment SO - Solid	Lab ID	CI	ient ID/Desc	ription	• .	Che	osen	Matrix	Date Collected	Time Collected			1 609 0	онвах						INSAZ	LACHUZ	O GGR			
SL - Sludge		Q 1-7130	-				MSD		7.0		<del> </del>	-	+	4			-	·			<del> </del>	H			<u> </u>
A - Air	001	BITHE	را			X	X	W	P.2.03	las_	<del> </del>		X	X	-		-	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	-
DS - Drum Solids			<del></del>				<del> </del>					<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	-		<del> </del>		-	+	<del> </del>	-	-		
DL - Drum Liquids							<b> </b>						-		<del>                                     </del>		<del>                                     </del>		1	-	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del> </del>		
L EP/TCLP Leachate																		<del>                                     </del>		1		1			†
WI - Wipe X - Other	<del> </del>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1				1							"					
F Fish																									
														ļ			<u> </u>				ļ	-			
									·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-		ļ		ļ	-			-		1	-
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>		/REVISIO	Nic.		<u> </u>	·]			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Special Instruct	ions:	SAF #	F03-0	07			DATE	HEVISIO	1									_  -					y Use C	sistant Se	ool mac.
									2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						~   н		were: ed ————————————————————————————————————		1) Pa 2)	Preser ackage	y or Y or Kenton	outer N
									4 5									(3)	Receiv	nt or (di /ed-in (d i(Y) oi	000	3)		Y OI	ample r N
Relinquished	<u> </u>	Received			Reli	inquis	hed		6 Received			<u> </u>		Die		. P			Sample operly I	Preserv		Sa CC	mple C Reco	Y) or ord Pre	N esent
Ded &		by (	940 03	Time /025	- <del> </del>	by	: <u>.</u>	SITE	by	ORIG		Tim CEAL	e	Samp	epancie les Lat Record	els an	d ·	5) Ho	Receive	ed With		Co	oler ,	nple Re Y or	ec't r N
	102	1					ASTE		<u> </u>		• • •	CN		1101		गन	919	ъ.	704	4 (v) or	N	Те	mp. <u>/</u>		- °C

FH-Central Plat	eau Project	C	HAIN OF CUST	ODY/S	<b>AMPLE</b>	ANAL	YSIS R	EQUEST		F03	-007-020	Page 1	01 4	
Collector Pfister/Pope/Hughes/Johan	isen	Comp	any Contact Hulstrom	Telephor 373-39	ie No.		P	roject Coordi RENT, SJ	natar	ice Code	7N	Data Tur		
Project Designation 200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU	- QC Sampling		ing Location -PW-4/ Retention Basin					AF No. 03-007	Ai	r Quality		45 1	Days	
Ice Chest No.	EEOSR	HN	Logbook No. F-N-3361	COA 117504ES10				lethod of Ship Federal Expre						
Shipped To EDERLINE SERVICES (	Formorty TMA) RECI	LA Offsite	Property No.	pe	303	46	E	Bill of Lading/	Air Bill No.	SE				
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HA	ZARDS/REMARKS Samples did not original radiological controlled total activity associated	area. N	Preservation	Cool 4C	Cool 4C	H2SO4 to pH <2 Cool 4C	HCL to pH Cool 4C	<2 HNO3 to pH <2	HNO3 to pH <2	HNO3 to pH <2	HNO3 to pH <2	HCl to pH <2	Notic	
Constal Manager and In	sample/samples.	. ******	Type of Container	aG	aG	P	G	P	P	P	P	P/	P	
Special Handling and/o	cool 4°C		No. of Container(s)	4	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	
			Volume	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	. 125mL	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	250mL	250mL	
	SAMPLE ANAI	YSIS		Pesticides - 8081	Chloro- Herbicides - EPA8151	NO2/NO3 - 353,2; Ammonia - 350.3	Oil & Greas 413.1	e - Total Uranium	Strontium- 89,90 Total Sr	Neptuniam-23	Nickel-63	Technetium-99	Tritium - H3; Carbon-14	
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time	in the first party										
B17HR3	WATER	9-2-03	1215	1	7	1	+							
									/					
											ļ			
					<u> </u>			_/_			ļ	<u> </u>	ļ ·	
					<u>L</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time Daye/Time Daye/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time	Received By/Stor	red In Pro-OCO 9  red In Pro-OCO 9  red In Da  red In Da	ate/Time	00 P 403 R	CIÁL INSTR ersonnel not clinguish sau ef#	t available mples fron	to n the 3728					Matrix *  S=Soil SE=Sectment SO-Soild SI=Shadge W = Water O=Oil A=Air DS=Drum Solids DL-Drum Liquids T=Tissue Wi=Wipe L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other	
LABORATORY Received		~ //		Tit	le		, .				į I	Date/Time	•.	
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal DISPOSITION	Method					Dispo	sed By			-	I	Date/Time		
D1 # EE 044 (02/04/2002)											<del></del> -	<u> </u>	l	

## LIONVILLE LABORATORY INCORPORATED SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECKLIST LIENT: TNU Handa

urchase Order/Project:

DATE: 9603

AF#Y	SOV	J# /	Release	#
1				

F03-007

aboratory SDG #:

03091403

1.	Custody seals on coolers or shipping	☑ Yes	D No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
1.	container intact, signed and dated?				2 see Comment #
2.	Outside of coolers or shipping containers are	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
	free from damage?				
3.	Airbill # recorded?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
4.	All expected paperwork received (coc and other client specific: historical data,	₽ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
	alpha/beta or other screening data as		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	applicable)? (paperwork sealed in plastic			•	
	bag and taped to inside lid)	~.	•		
5.	Sample containers are intact?	☑ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
6.	Custody seals on sample containers intact,	'D'Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
	signed and dated?	,			
7.	All samples on coc received?	☑ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
8.	All sample label information matches coc?	9 Yes	□Ņo	□ N/A	d see Comment#
9.	Laboratory QC samples designated on coc? (QC stickers placed on bottles?)	/2 Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
		1. /			
10.	Shipment meets LvLl Sample Acceptance Policy? (identify all bottles not within	□ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
:	policy. See reverse side for policy)	•			
11.	Where applicable, bar code labels are affixed to coc?	□ Yes	□ No	Z N/A	□ see Comment#
12.	coc signed and dated?	Ø Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
13.	coc will be faxed or emailed to client?	₩ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
14.	Project Manager/Client contacted concerning discrepancies? (name/date)	□Yes	□ No	<b>Ø</b> N/A	☐ see Comment #

Cooler # / temp (°C) and Comments:

Ere 96-061/1

Laboratory Sample Custodian:

Laboratory Project Manager:

Il Mouth

# Lionville Laboratory, Inc. HBGX ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE FOR TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330

DATE RECEIVED: 09/0	06/03		LVL LOT # :0309L403					
CLIENT ID	LVL #	MTX PREP #	COLLECTION EXTR/PREP	ANALYSIS				
B17HR3 B17HR3 B17HR3	001 001 MS 001 MSD	W 03LE1098 W 03LE1098 W 03LE1098	09/02/03 09/08/03 09/02/03 09/08/03 09/02/03 09/08/03	09/22/03 09/22/03 09/22/03				
LAB QC:								
PBLKFB PBLKFB	MB1 MB1 BS	W 03LE1098 W 03LE1098	N/A 09/08/03 N/A 09/08/03	09/22/03 09/22/03				

Jos pals





**Analytical Report** 

**W.O.#:** 11343-606-001-9999-00

Date Received: 09-06-03

Client: TNU HANFORD F03-007 LVL#: 0309L403

SDG/SAF#: H2330/F03-007

#### HERBICIDE

One (1) soil sample was collected on 09-02-03.

The sample and its associated QC samples were extracted on 09-08-03 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory OPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 09-22-03. The extraction and analysis procedure was based on method 8151A.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

- 1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LVLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 2. All required holding times for extraction and analysis have been met.
- 3. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
- 4. Two (2) of five (5) surrogate recoveries were outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR) has been enclosed.
- 5. All blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 6. Four (4) of sixteen (16) matrix spike recoveries were outside acceptance criteria. A copy of the Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR) has been enclosed.
- 7. All initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
- 8. All continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
- 9. To the best of my knowledge, this data report is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the purchase order, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed in the case narrative. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package and in the electronic data submitted on diskette has been authorized by the cognizant laboratory manager or his/her designee to be accurate as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

pef\r:\group\data\herb\\tnu\09L-403.doc

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of pages.

#### Lionville Laboratory Sample Discrepancy Report (SDR) SDR #: 0366381 John Luch Batch: 030(L40) Parameter: 14 c h. c . 2 e Initiator: 9/29/07 Samples: _ isチャブ Matrix: Date: Prep Batch: 이것나를 10억년 Client: t W Method: sw846/McAWW/CLP/ 1. Reason for SDR __ Client Request __ Sampler Error on C-O-C Tech Profile Error a. COC Discrepancy __ Other Transcription Error Wrong Test Code b. General Discrepancy __ Missing Sample/Extract* Wrong Sample Pulled __ Container Broken __ Insufficient Sample * Label ID's Illegible Preservation Wrong Received Past Hold __ Hold Time Exceeded __ Improper Bottle Type Not Amenable to Analysis Note*: Verified by [Log-In] or [Prep Group] (circle)...signature/date: _ c. Problem (Include all relevant specific results; attach data if necessary) matrix spile & Duplicut surgeres incrested, spiles increased mso L.n. T 1820 Linet ms ms h Linet 1820 150 245T 1448145 10 1342 ms 167% Dicamba UIL Dichlosprop on 152 2. Known or Probable Causes(s) Other Description: 3. Discussion and Proposed Action Re-log Nevate see is no impact to be __ Entire Batch re Blank Solle is in control Following Samples: Re-leach. and the are no hits in the samples Re-extract Re-digest Revise EDD Change Test Code to Place On/Take Off Hold (circle) 4. Prøject Manager Instructions...signature/date: Concur with Proposed Action Disagree with Proposed Action; See Instruction Include in Case Narrative Client Contacted: Date/Person Add Cancel 5. Final Action...signature/date: 大り2かへ Other Explanation: Verified re-[log][leach][extract][digest][analysis] (circle) ✓included in Case Narrative Hard Copy COC Revised Electronic COC Revised EDD Corrections Completed When Final Action has been recorded, forward original to QA Specialist for distribution and filing. Distribution of Completed SDR Route Distribution of Completed SDR Route Metals: Beegle X Initiator Inorganic: Perrone X Lab General Manager: M. Taylor X Project Mgr: Stone/Johnson/Haslett GC/LC: Kiger MS: Rychlak/Layman X Technical Mgr. Wesson/Daniels

Log-in: Melnic

Admin: Soos

Other:

X QA (file): Alberts

Data Management: Feldman

Sample Prep: Beegle/Kiger



#### GLOSSARY OF HERBICIDE DATA

## ATA QUALIFIERS

- James Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected. The minimum detection limit for the sample (not the method detection limit) is reported with the U (e.g., 10U).
- Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used in cases where a target analyte is detected at a level less than the lower quantification level. If the limit of quantification is 10 ug/L and a concentration of 3 ug/L is calculated, it is reported as 3J.
- B = This flag is used when the analyte is found in the associated blank as well as in the sample. It indicates possible/probable blank contamination.
- E Indicates that the compound was detected beyond the calibration range and was subsequently analyzed at a dilution.
- I = Interference.

### **ABBREVIATIONS**

- BS = Indicates blank spike in which reagent grade water is spiked with the CLP matrix spiking solutions and carried through all the steps in the method. Spike recoveries are reported.
- BSD = Indicates blank spike duplicate.
- MS = Indicates matrix spike.
- MSD = Indicates matrix spike duplicate.
- DL = Indicates that recoveries were not obtained because the extract had to be diluted for analysis.
- NA = Not Applicable.
- DF = Dilution Factor,
- NR = Not Required.
- SP = Indicates Spiked Compound.



#### GLOSSARY OF HERBICIDE DATA

- This flag is used for an Herbicide target analyte when there is greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns (see Form X). The lower of the two values is reported on Form I and flagged with a "P".
- This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at a secondary dilution factor.
- = This flag applies to a compound that has been confirmed by HPLC.

RFW Batch Number: 0309L403

Herbicides, Special List

Client: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330 Work Order: 11343606001 Page: 1

B17HR3 PBLKFB PBLKFB BS B17HR3 Cust ID: B17HR3 001 MSD 03LE1098-MB1 03LE1098-MB1 001 001 MS Sample RFW#: WATER WATER WATER WATER Information Matrix: WATER 1.00 1.00 1.00 D.F.: 1.00 1.00 ug/L ug/L ua/L uq/L Units: uq/L DCAA 132 167 * % 182 * % 114 123 Surrogate: 50 Ü 8.0 50 U 116 124 Dalapon 20 Ū 97 જ Dicamba 20 U 145 왕 150 152 * % 50 · U 97 ... 50 U 133 Dichloroprop · [] 10 Ū 137 154 2,4-D 148 5.0 U 2,4,5-TP (Silvex) 5.0 U 142 149 * % 5.0 U 98 5.0 U 144 * % 2,4,5-T 50 U 141 . 155 2,4-DB 50 U 114 5.0 U 5.0 Ü 113 Dinoseb

April-ales

Report Date: 09/24/03 08:57

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

Lionville Labora			Custouy	LD PERSO					Y SHADI	ED A	REAS	3	<u>E</u> F	•				. (	<u> </u>	<b>ंक</b>	uo 1 T	NVILLELA	BORATORY	INC.
						<del></del> T	Refriger	ator #	<del>T</del>	<u>-</u>		1-17	-			1					· .			
Client TN	U-H	antoid	F03-00	>7	<u> </u>				Liquid			400	200						Р		200			
Est. Final Proj.	Sampl	Ing Date	606.001.99	20.00			#/Type (	Container	Solid				1											
Project #		11343-0	800. 0012 17	11000				·	Liquid			14	12						4	1	14			<u></u>
Project Contac	t/Phon	e#	ger Orlitt	o rock	~^^		Volume		Solid			22.			1									
Conville Labo	ratory I	Project Mana Del <u>577</u>	TAT	(30	day 1	<del></del> }	Preserv	vatives		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		المهي					. \		12500	× ene	HCC			
							ANALY	/SES	- <b>!</b>		1	ANIC	·				INO							
Date Rec'd	9-	6-03	Date Due	10-6-0	3		REQUI	ESTED		VOA	BNA	Pest/	Herb				Metal	S	5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NE	7 5 5			
MATRIX CODES:						trix IC					т		Ţ		Lionvi	lle Lai	borato	ory Us	e Onl	у	1	······································		
S - Soll SE - Sediment SO - Solld SL - Studge	Lab ID		Client ID/Description		Cho	sen /) MSD	Matrix	Date Collected	Time Collected			19090	OHBGX						IN3N2	TAH37	TOGGR			
160 Idention	001	BI7H	<del>ც</del> ვ		Х	У	W	92.03	1215			X	X						X	X	X	1	1	_
A - Air DS - Drum										٠,														
Solids DL - Drum																								
DL - Drum Liquids L - EP/TCLP			المستعملة						. <u>.</u>															
Leachate WI - Wipe													<u> </u>											
X - Other F - Fish																								
}				<u> </u>																				ļ
											ļ.,			·						<u> </u>		<del> </del>		<u> </u>
										<u></u>	<u> </u>								ļ		<del> </del>	ļ		-
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	· .	<u>L</u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>								<u></u>		<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
Special Instruct	ions:	SAF #	F03-007			DATE/	REVISIO	ns: 1	<u>,</u>		<del> </del>	<u></u>				·	Sa		were:		Ta	y Use O Imper Res Presep	sistant Sea	
_					-		·	2 3				<del></del>					Ha Air	nd Deli bill #	vered.		P: 2) P:	ackage Unbrok ackage Presen	Y) or percon C Y) or	N Outer N
								5		<del></del>							3) Co	Receive	ed in G	boot	4)	Unbrok	Y) or en on	N
Relinquished by		Received	Date Tin		linquis by		<u> </u>	6 Received by	ORIĞ			e	Samp	les Lab	s Betwe	٠_ ٠	Pro 5) F	perly P (Receive	reserve or or With	N	CC	OC Reco	ノ ord Pres	sent c't
Oled Ex		<u>Ymitl</u>	79613 100	\$ "	CON W	ASTE			REWE	115	EN		NOTE	ES:	314 34 o	$\mathcal{O}$	Hol	ding Ti	mes or			mp. 1.	<u>3</u>	°C

Collector Princer/Openhalpas/Johnspan Content Telephone No. Princer/Openhalpas/Johnspan Content Telephone No. Princer Code TN Dark Turnmound Telephone No. Project Deliphonal Management of the Code To September Supplied Content No. September Supplin	FH-Central Platea	au Project	Cl	HAIN OF CUST	ODY/S	AMPLE	ANAL	YSIS F	REQUEST	r <u> </u>	F03	-007-020	Page 1	or <u>2</u>
Project Legislation  See Cost No. See OSR Field Logbook No. 117504ES10  Field Logbook No. 117504	Collector Pfister/Pope/Hughes/Johanse	n	Compa LC	any Contact Hulstrom	Telephor 373-39	ie No. 128		<u>·                                      </u>	TRENT, SJ	nator P	rice Code	7N	4	
Shipped To	Project Designation 200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU - C	QC Sampling	Sampl 200	ing Location -PW-4/ Retention Basin						Ai	ir Quality		45.	Jays
Support To   1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	Ice Chest No.	EEOSAL					10	N						
Preservation	CL: 17. AC	1 9-2-07	2 A Offsite	e Property No.	He	303	46		Bill of Lading/	'Air Bill No.	SE	r osp	<u> </u>	
Special Handling and/or Storage  No. of Containers (s)  No. of Conta	POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZA	ARDS/REMARKS Samples did not origina radiological controlled	ite in area. N	Preservation	Cool 4C	Cool 4C							HCl to pH <2	None
No. of Coatainer(s)   Volume   100mL		sample/samples.	With	Type of Container	aG	aG	P	G	P	P	P	P	P/	P
SAMPLE ANALYSIS  Politicis: Objection   Polit	Special Handing and/or a	•		No. of Container(s)	4	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
SAMPLE ANALYSIS    Sample No.   Matrix *   Sample Date   Sample Time   Sample Date   Sample Time   Sample Date   S		·	*	Volume	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	1000ml	. 125mL	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	250mL	250mL
B17HR3 WATER 9-2-03 ID15 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		SAMPLE ANAL	.YSIS			Herbicides -	353.2; Ammonia -		se - Total Uranium	89,90 Total		7 Nickel-63	Technetium-99	
B17HR3 WATER 9-2-03 ID15 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time		PT CO.				7				0.00
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Date/Ti	B17HR3	WATER	9-2-03	1215	*	7	1	+						
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Date/Ti										/				
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Date/Ti										<b> </b>	<b></b>			
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Date/Ti					<u></u>		<u> </u>				<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Reginquished By/Removed From Date/Time Poster/Hime Date/Time Personnel not available to relinquish amples from the 3728 Reginquished By/Removed From Date/Time Poster/Hime Pos	CHAIN OF BOSESSIO	<u> </u>	Sign/Prin	t Names	<u>.</u>	Spec	TAL INSTE	RECTION	V V	1	<u> </u>	1	1	Matrix *
	Relinquished By/Removed From  Rescived By/Removed By/Removed From  Rescived By/Removed	Date/Time  Date/Time  Pate/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time  Date/Time	Received By/Stor  Received By/Stor  Received By/Stor  Received By/Stor  Received By/Stor  Received By/Stor	red in Direct in	ate/Time  Ate/Time  Ate/Time  Ate/Time  Ate/Time  Ate/Time	20 P	ersonnei not elinguish sar	t available mples fro	e to m the 3728				Date/Time	SE=Sediment SO=Solid SI=Sludge W = Water O=Oit A=Air DS=Drum Solids DL=Drum Liquids T=Tissue WI=Wipe L=Liquid V=Vegetation
	FINAL SAMPLE Disposal M	lethod					Dispo	sed By			• .	:	Date/Time	

## LIONVILLE LABORATORY INCORPORATED TNU Handrd

'urchase Order/Project:

DATE: 9.6.03

AF#y SOW# / Release #:

F03-007

_aboratory SDG #:

03091403

	Custody seals on coolers or shipping	Ø Yes	D No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
1.	container intact, signed and dated?	7.2	2710	D IVA	o see Comment #
2.	Outside of coolers or shipping containers are free from damage?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
3.	Airbill # recorded?	⊄ Yes	□No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
4.	All expected paperwork received (coc and other client specific: historical data, alpha/beta or other screening data as	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	D see Comment#
	applicable)? (paperwork sealed in plastic bag and taped to inside lid)				
⁻ 5.	Sample containers are intact?	7 Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
6.	Custody seals on sample containers intact, signed and dated?	7 Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment#
7.	All samples on coc received?	Ø Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
8.	All sample label information matches coc?	D Yes	□ Ņo	□ N/A	id see Comment #
9.	Laboratory QC samples designated on coc? (QC stickers placed on bottles?)	7 Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
10.	Shipment meets LvLl Sample Acceptance Policy? (identify all bottles not within policy. See reverse side for policy)	D Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment#
11.	Where applicable, bar code labels are affixed to coc?	□ Yes	□ No	AIN D	□ see Comment#
12.	coc signed and dated?	Ø Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
13.	coc will be faxed or emailed to client?	₩ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment#
14.	Project Manager/Client contacted concerning discrepancies? (name/date)	□ Yes	□ No	E N/A	☐ see Comment#

Cooler # / temp (°C) and Comments:

Ere 96-061/1.3

Laboratory Sample Custodian:

Laboratory Project Manager:

I ymith

#### Lionville Laboratory, Inc. INORGANIC ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE FOR TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330

DATE RECEIVED: 09/06	703			J	LVL LOT # :03	09L403
CLIENT ID /ANALYSIS	LVL #	MTX	PREP #	COLLECTION	EXTR/PREP	ANALYSIS
			•			i .
B17HR3						
NITRATE NITRITE	001	M	03LN3054	09/02/03	09/22/03	09/22/03
NITRATE NITRITE	001 REP	M	03LN3054	09/02/03	09/22/03	09/22/03
NITRATE NITRITE	001 MS	M	03LN3054	09/02/03	09/22/03	09/22/03
AMMONIA	001	· W	03LAM023	09/02/03	09/09/03	09/09/03
AINOMMA	001 REP	W	03LAM023	, ,	09/09/03	09/09/03
AINOMMA	001 MS	M	03LAM023	09/02/03	09/09/03	09/09/03
OIL & GREASE BY GRAV	001	M	03LOG041	09/02/03	09/09/03	09/10/03
OIL AND GREASE BY GR	001 MS	W	03LOG041	09/02/03	09/09/03	09/10/03
	•					
LAB QC:	*				•	
					·	•
			-			
t		•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NITRATE NITRITE	MB1	W	03LN3054	N/A	09/22/03	09/22/03
NITRATE NITRITE	MB1 BS	M	03LN3054	N/A	09/22/03	09/22/03
AMMONIA	MB1	, W	03LAM023	N/A	09/09/03	09/09/03
AMMONIA	MB1 BS	W	03LAM023	N/A	09/09/03	09/09/03
AMMONIA	MB1 BSD	∵w	03LAM023	N/A	09/09/03	09/09/03
OIL & GREASE BY GRAV	MB1	W	03LOG041	N/A	09/09/03	09/10/03
OTT, AND GREASE BY GR	MB1 BS	W	03LOG041	N/A	09/09/03	09/10/03





#### **Analytical Report**

Client: TNU-HANFORD F03-007 H2330

LVL#: 0309L403

W.O.#: 11343-601-001-9999-00

Date Received: 09-06-03

#### INORGANIC NARRATIVE

1. This narrative covers the analyses of 1 water sample.

- 2. The sample was prepared and analyzed in accordance with the methods checked on the attached glossary.
- 3. Sample holding times as required by the method and/or contract were met.
- 4. The results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 5. The method blanks were within the method criteria.
- 6. The Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) were within the laboratory control limits. The duplicate LCS for Ammonia was within the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limit.
- 7. The matrix spike recoveries for Nitrate Nitrite, Ammonia and Oil and Grease were within the 75-125% control limits.
- 8. The replicate analyses for Nitrate Nitrite and Ammonia were within the 20% RPD control limit.
- 9. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

njp\i09- 403

The results presented in this report relate to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples upon receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 12 pages.

02

## Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

### WET CHEMISTRY

### METHODS GLOSSARY FOR WATER SAMPLE ANALYSIS

	EPA /600	SW846	<u>OTHER</u>
Acidity	305.1		
AlkalinityBicarbonateCarbonate	310.1		
BOD	405.1	-	5210B (b)
Ion Chromatography:			
BromideChlorideFluoride	300.0	9056	
NitrateNitritePhosphate	300.0	9056	
Sulfate Formate Acetate Oxalate	300.0	9056	
Chloride	325.2	9251	
Chorine, Residual	330.5 (mod)		
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	335.2	9010B	
Cyanide, Total	335.2	9010B 9014	ILMO4.0 (e)
Cyanide, Weak Acid Dissociable			412 (a) 4500CN-I (b)
COD	410.4(mod)	·	5220C (b)
Color	110.2		
Corrosivity by Coupon		1110(mod)	
Chromium VI		7196A	3500Cr-D (b)
Fluoride	340.2	• -	4500-FC
Hardness, Calcium	215.2	*******	<del>-</del>
Hardness, Total	130.2		
Iodide			ASTM D19P202 (1)
Surfactant	425.1	<u> </u>	<del>-</del>
√ Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrate Nitrite	353.2		
Ammonia	350.3	•	
Total Kjeldahl Organic Nitrogen	351.3		
Total Organic Inorganic Carbon	415.1	9060	
Oil & Grease	413.1	9070	
pH pH; paper	150.1	9040B 9041A	
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable	418.1	. ·	
Phenol	420.1 420.2	9065 9066	
Ortho Total Phosphate	365.2		4500-PB C
Salinity			210A (a) 2520 (b)
Settleable Solids	160.5	<u>-</u>	
Sulfide	<del></del> 376.1	9030B/9034 (ac	id soluble)
Reactive Cyanide Sulfide	<del></del>	Section 7.3 ( 9014	
Silica	370.1		
Sulfite	<del></del> 377.1		
Sulfate	375.4	9038	
Specific Conductance	120.1	9050A	
Specific Gravity			D5057-90 213E (a)
Synthetic Precipitation Leach	1312		
Total Dissolved Suspended Solids	$\frac{160}{160}$ .1 $\frac{1312}{.2}$ .3		
Total Organic Halides	450.1	9020B	
Turbidity	180.1		
Volatile Solids:			
TotalDissolvedSuspended	160.4		
Other:		ethod:	
O 120/1	1711		

#### Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

#### METHOD REFERENCES AND DATA QUALIFIERS

#### **DATA QUALIFIERS**

- U = Indicates that the parameter was not detected at or above the reported limit. The associated numerical value is the sample detection limit.
- * = Indicates that the original sample result is greater than 4x the spike amount added.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

MB = Method or Preparation Blank.

MS = Matrix Spike.

MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate.

REP = Sample Replicate

LC = Laboratory Control Sample.

NC = Not calculated.

A suffix of -R, -S, or -T following these codes indicate a replicate, spike or sample duplicate analysis respectively.

#### ANALYTICAL WET CHEMISTRY METHODS

- 1. ASTM Standard Methods.
- USEPA Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (USEPA 600/4-79-020).
- 3. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (USEPA SW-846).
- a. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste, 16 ed, (1983).
- b. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste, 17 ed, (1989)/18ed (1992).
- c. <u>Method of Soil Analysis</u>, Part 1, Physical and Mineralogical Methods, 2nd ed, (1986).
- d. Method of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Chemical and Microbiological Properties, Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, WI (1965).
- e. USEPA Contract Laboratory Program, Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis.
- f. Code of Federal Regulations.

#### INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 09/24/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

				1 1	REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	result	UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
,======	=======================================		=======		=========	=======
-001	B17HR3	Nitrate Nitrite	0.020u	MG/L	0.020	. 1.0
,		Ammonia, as N	0.10 u	MG/L	0.10	1.0
		Oil & Grease Gravimetri	1.1 u	MG/L	1.1	1.0

#### INORGANICS METHOD BLANK DATA SUMMARY PAGE 09/24/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330

LVL LOT #: 0309L403

WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

				REPORTING .	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT UNIT:	S LIMIT	FACTOR
======	. =======================			== =======	=======
BLANK10	03LN3054-MB1	Nitrate Nitrite	0.020u MG/L	0.020	1.0
BLANK10	03LAM023-MB1	Ammonia, as N	0.10 u MG/L	0.10	1.0
BLANK10	03LOG041-MB1	Oil & Grease Gravimetri	1.0 u MG/L	1.0	1.0

#### INORGANICS ACCURACY REPORT 09/24/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

			SPIKED	INITIAL	SPIKED	÷	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SAMPLE	RESULT	AMOUNT	%RECOV	FACTOR (SPK)
		=======================================		=======	======		
-001.	B17HR3	Nitrate Nitrite	0.47	0.02u	0.50	94.2	1.0
		Ammonia, as N	2.1	0.101	2.0	103.0	1.0
		Oil & Grease Gravimetr	39.4	1.1 u	46.1	85.5	1.0
BLANK10	03LN3054-MB1	Nitrate Nitrite	0.51	0.02u	0.50	101.8	1.0
BLANK10	031.AM023-MB1	Ammonia, as N	1.9	0.10u	2.0	96.0	1.0
		Ammonia, as N MSD	1.9	0.10u	2.0	94.5	1.0
BLANK10	03LOG041-MB1	Oil & Grease Gravimetr	45.2	1.0 u	46.5	97.2	1.0

#### INORGANICS DUPLICATE SPIKE REPORT 09/24/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

			PLIVE#1 PLIVE#5
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	%RECOV %RECOV %DIFF
=======	F=====================================		===== =================================
DT-NNK10	02T.3M022 _MR1	Ammonia se N	960 945 16

#### INORGANICS PRECISION REPORT 09/24/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD F03-007 H2330

WORK	ORDER:	11343-606-001-9999-00

			INTITAL	1			. 1	DIFG	LION	
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	REPLICATE	RPD		. 1	FACTOR (RE		
======				=======			-			
-001REP	B17HR3	Nitrate Nitrite	0.02u	0.02u	NC	•			1.0	
		Ammonia, as N	0.10u	0.10u	NC	•			1.0	

Lionville Labora	tory Us	se Only	Custo	dy	ı rans	SIE	T, F	してい	J1 W/	-				•						٠		~ #	.▼ .		#
03090	40	3		FIEL	D PERSO	NNE	L: C	OMPLE	TE ONL	Y SHAD	ED A	REAS	-D	E.F					<del></del>	G;		1, 7	NVILLETA	HORATOR)	) NC
					and the second	i i	T	Refriger	ator #																
Client TN	ローロ	contoro	FQ_5	<u>. UU.</u>			<u>}</u>			Liquid			Has	Ja						ie	1	200	التسر		
Est. Final Proj.	Sampl	ing Date	-n/a- 0/01	9999	C0 - 1		-	#/Type	Container	Solid			1									}			
Project #		11242-6	,00,, 00,	7 7 7 1				Volume		Liquid			14	الم							1	14			
Project Contact	:VPnon	e # Project Manac	000	1110	- guto	WA		voluine		Solid						*				Ż		THE STATE OF			
QC SPE		Del 570	TAT		() 30	رسه		Preser	esvitav		-1  -1		-			(	·	1		Hay	4	HCC.			
						<del></del>		ANAL	V8E8				ANIC	·		1			ነዋር -		1	-			10 N i
Date Rec'd	9-	6-03	Date Due		10-6-0	}	· <u>·</u>	REQU	ESTED		VOA	BNA	Pest/	Herb				Metal	S	562	N	+ 3			
MATRIX CODES:			* . **.	-			itrix							1		Lionv	ille La	borate	ory Us	se Onl	y	100	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
S - Soil SE - Sediment SO - Solid SL - Sludge	Lab ID	C	Client ID/Desc	ription		Ch.	osen /)	Matrix	Date Collected	Time Collected			H 809 0	онвах			 	·		INSAZ	TAN32	TOGGR			-
And Shinking	<u></u>	B17H1	ß3			X	У	W	9.2.03	1215			Х	X						X	X	X	†		<del> </del>
A - Air DS - Drum													1000 1000												
Solids DL - Drum																									
Liquids L - EP/TCLP					<u> </u>																				
Leachate WI - Wipe				Rosenta. Portugues																					
X Other																									h. 0
F- Fish		<u> </u>												ton heliste				eriana							
								of instrument												<u>.</u>		<u> </u>		ļ	
		<u> </u>									<i>.</i>			<u> </u>									<u></u>		<u> </u>
																	]				<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>		
Special Instruct	ons:	SAF #	F03-0	07			DATE	REVISIO	NS:			-						-		Lionvi	lle Lab	<del></del>	Use O		
		Jar							1									1) Ha Air 	Shippe and Del bill # Ambier	were:	or	1) Pa 2) Pa	Present lickage Unbrok lickage Present	sistant Sea y or y or y or y or y or y or y or y or y or	uter N Outer N
Dallamiched	1	Received			7 <u></u>	inquis	heri		5 6 Received									4)	Sample	reserve	ed .	Sa CO	C Reco	y) or I	sent
Relinquished by	-   s2	by by	94043	Time		by CON	/IPO	SITE	by	ORIĞ REWR	~ • •	EN	B	Samp	les Lab Record S:	s Betwo	0	5) Received Within Holding Times (Y) or N			Cod	COC Record Present Upon Sample Rec't (Y) or N Cooler Temp. 1.3 °C			

FH-Central Plateau	u Project	C	HAIN OF CUST	ODY/S	AMPLE	ANAL	YSIS	R	EQUEST		F03-	007-020	Page <u>1</u>	of 2
Collector Pfister/Pope/Hughes/Johansen		Comp	any Contact Huistrom	Telephone No. 373-3928				Project Coordinator TRENT, SJ			rice Code	7N		naround Days
Project Designation 200-PW-2/200-PW-4 OU - Qu	C Sampling		ling Location D-PW-4/ Retention Basin					SAF No. F03-007			ir Quality		45.	Days
Ice Chest No.	EOSPL	HN	Logbook No. F-N-3361		COA 117504ES	10			ethod of Shipt Federal Expres			j		
Shipped To EDERLINE SERVICES (Form	1 9-2-03 MONTHAN RECK	2 A Offsit	e Property No.	H	9303	46	i .	Bi	ill of Lading/A	Air Bill No.	SE	r osp		
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZAI	RDS/REMARKS amples did not origina idiological controlled a ital activity associated	ite in area. N	Preservation	Cool 4C	Cool 4C	H2SO4 to pH <2 Coal 4C	HCL to		2 HNO3 to pH <2	HNO3 to pH	HNO3 to pH	HNO3 to pH <2	HCl to pH <2	None
Sa	mple/samples.	WILI	Type of Container	. aG	aG	P	G	ì	P	P	P	P	Р/	P
Special Handling and/or St	cool 4°C		No. of Container(s)	4	2	I	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
			Volume	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	1000	)mL	125mL	1000mL	1000mL	1000mL	250mL	250mL
	SAMPLE ANAL	ysis		Pesticides - 8081	Chloro- Herbicides - EPAS151	NO2/NO3 - 353.2; Ammonia - 350.3	Oil & Gr 413		- Total Uranium	Strontium- 89,90 Total Sr	Neptunium-237	Nickel-63	Technetium-99	Tritium - H3; Carbon-14
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time				a in Besty			<u> </u>	/ <u> </u>			0.001.004.0000
B17HR3	WATER	9-2-03	1215	1	ア	1	7							
							ļ .			<u> </u>				
								· <u>;      </u>	///					
								· <del></del> -	//		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
CHAIN OF POSSESSION	.,	Sign/Prin	t Names	<u> </u>	CDEC	TEN INCIPE	L.	ON 10	<u>i</u>	······································	<u></u> .	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Matrix *
Ralinguisted By/Removed From Relinguistred By/Removed From Relinguistred By/Removed From Relinguisted By/Removed From		Received By/Sto  Received By/Sto  Received By/Sto	red In Pro-OSC 9 red In Pro-OSC 9 ACCOMPANY DA	tte/Time (2)	00 1403 P	TÂL INSTE ersonnel not linquish sar ef#	t availal mples fr	ble t	to the 3728	•				S=Soil SE=Sediment SO=Solid SI=Sludge W=Water O=Oil A=Air
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Sto	=3(9-4-62 red In Da	3 1430 te/Time 100				- 1 <u>-8-</u>						DS=Drum Solids DL=Drum Liquids T=Tissite WI=Wipe L=Liquid
Relinquished By/Removed From F	Date/Time Loop	Received By/Sto	En	9-5				· .	• .	-	. •			V=Vegetation X=Other
Relinquished By/Removed Erom	$\frac{-15ate/Time}{9.65.03.1025}$	1 4116 11 4	hium 9603	165		·				<del></del>				
LABORATORY Received By SECTION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Ti	tle							D	ate/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Met	hod					Dispo	sed By	· ·				ľ	Date/Time	

## SAMPLE RECEIPT CHECKLIST LIONVILLE LABORATORY INCORPORATED

urchase Order/Project:

DATE: 9.6.03

AF#Y SOW# / Release #:

F03-007

.aboratory SDG #:

03091403

OTE:	ALL ENTRIES MARKED "NO" MUST BE E		N THE COMM	ENT SECTION	
1.	Custody seals on coolers or shipping container intact, signed and dated?	☑ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
2.	Outside of coolers or shipping containers are free from damage?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
3.	Airbill # recorded?	⊄ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
4.	All expected paperwork received (coc and other client specific: historical data, alpha/beta or other screening data as applicable)? (paperwork sealed in plastic	☑ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
	bag and taped to inside lid)				
<b>— 5.</b>	Sample containers are intact?	☑ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
6.	Custody seals on sample containers intact, signed and dated?	D Yes	□ No	□ N/A	D see Comment#
7.	All samples on coc received?	∕ Yes	□No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment#
8.	All sample label information matches coc?	7 Yes	□ No	□ N/A	isee Comment#
9.	Laboratory QC samples designated on coc? (QC stickers placed on bottles?)	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment #
10.	Shipment meets LvLI Sample Acceptance Policy? (identify all bottles not within policy. See reverse side for policy)	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment #
11.	Where applicable, bar code labels are affixed to coc?	□ Yes	□ No	Ø N/A	□ see Comment#
12.	coc signed and dated?	Ø Yes	□ No	□ N/A	□ see Comment#
13.	coc will be faxed or emailed to client?	W Yes	□ No	□ N/A	☐ see Comment#
14.	Project Manager/Client contacted concerning discrepancies? (name/date)	Ŷ ☐ Yes	□ No	E N/A	□ see Comment#

Cooler # / temp (°C) and Comments:

Ere 96-061/1.3°

Laboratory Sample Custodian:

Laboratory Project Manager:

Il Muth